



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Hepatology*

**Manuscript NO:** 89202

**Title:** From MAFLD to MASLD: controversy and consensus

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 02527640

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** AGAF, FACG, FACP, FCPS, FRCP, FRCPE, MBBS

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Pakistan

**Author’s Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-10-25 11:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-10-31 18:05

**Review time:** 6 Days and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Within more than just three years, two distinct nomenclatures, namely MAFLD and MASLD, have been proposed sequentially. It becomes important how do we address the newly defined MASLD. Should we adopt the new nomenclature but stick to the boundaries defined for MAFLD or adopt some of the newly defined terms such as MetALD and ALD within the spectrum of MASLD/NAFLD. There appear to be disparities in the nomenclature, definitions and implications on the management and outcomes. The author has very well addressed these issues.