

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: The subject is very important, and it is just a warning against the use of insulin therapy without any limitations, such as time. A corrected file is attached in which some words were edited for language.

Response: We appreciate your professional revision of the manuscript.

Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments to Authors:

1) Please write the problem statement or research gap (What you want to do and why) in introduction clearly.

Response: We have added the points in the at the end of the first paragraph.

2) Based on these gaps, write your contributions very specifically at the end of Introduction in bullet points.

Response: I'm sorry that we have described about the contributions in the Conclusion section, so it is not appropriate to repeat them at the end of the introduction. But we have added subheadings to make the content clearer according to your advice. Thank you.

3) Write the related works group wise. Please make group with similar type of papers and the review those papers analytically. At the end of related works, please write what are you going to solve.

Response: Since this is an editorial, the length should not be too long, we cannot add so many details. We have shown what we are going to solve in the Conclusion section.

4) Please read and cite : a) Analysis the Significant Risk Factors on Type 2 Diabetes Perspective of Bangladesh (2018), b) EADSG guidelines: insulin therapy in diabetes (2018), c) Diabetic Retinopathy Detection Using PCA-SIFT and Weighted Decision Tree (2019), d) One hundred years of insulin therapy (2021)

Response: We have read these articles and cited two of them, please see Ref 9 and 25. Thank you.