

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 90011

**Title:** Development of a newly developed Cox (NDC) model for predicting long-term survival after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts (TIPS) in patients with hepatitis cirrhosis

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05924079

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:**

**Professional title:**

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Reviewer\_Country

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-11-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-12-06 05:10

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-11 10:56

**Review time:** 5 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty

<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation
<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive study with important clinical implications. It provides valuable insights for the development of a new prognostic model for predicting long-term survival after placement of TIPS in patients with hepatitis cirrhosis. The methodology is sound, and the results are promising. And there are some areas that require minor modifications to improve the clarity and robustness of the findings: 1. In the introduction section, please provide more context and background information on the existing literature regarding TIPS placement, long-term survival prediction models, and their limitations. This will help readers understand the significance and novelty of your study. 2. Enrich the conclusion section by discussing potential clinical applications of the study and future research directions. 3. Check that all references are current and relevant. Ensure that any seminal works or recent pivotal studies in the field are included, especially those that directly relate to the NDC model and TIPS procedures. 4. Original:

"SPSS (version 27.0, IBM Corp, Armonk, New York) and R (version 4.3.1, R development Core Team) were used for the statistical analyses." Please specify which parts of the analysis were performed using SPSS and which were done using R. This adds clarity for readers who may wish to replicate your study.