

Dear editor,

Please consider our revised manuscript. We thank the reviewers for their comments. We have revised the manuscript accordingly and provide the specific answers below.

Reviewer

Reviewers' comments:

1. Abstract

“Take the numbers out of the abstract and just provide the findings.”

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have removed the numbers of the abstract.

2. The cover letter

“The cover letter does not need the bibliography of the authors.”

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have removed the bibliography of the authors.

3. Method and results

“I think with MRI you can measure volumes of the heart chambers which would be more meaningful than the A-P and L-R measurements.”

Response: Thanks for your comments. In fact ventricular volume parameters are very meaningful and we also measured left ventricular diastolic and systolic volume parameters in our study. However, based on the variability of each patient, we used left ventricular volume normalized to body surface area for correction and obtain left ventricular end-diastolic volume index (LVEDVi) and left ventricular end-systolic volume index (LVESVi) . These two parameters can be found in table 2 and line 100-102.

4. Introduction

“Check line 36 as i believe that cardiac function can be evaluated with CT.”

Response: Thanks for your comments. We thank the review for pointing out this issue. We have revised it in our manuscript.

Origin: “However, CT is limited by radiation exposure and cardiac function cannot be evaluated simultaneously.”

Revised: “Evaluation of cardiac function is feasible. However, CT is constrained by radiation exposure.”