

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 90143

Title: Novel approach of ultrasound-guided lateral recess block for a patient with

lateral recess stenosis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06774825 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-05 13:18

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-17 18:53

**Review time:** 12 Days and 5 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D. No creativity of fillovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Overall, this case report presentation represents a new method and well organized. Regarding the provided check list, I would like you to refer to the following points: Title, key words and background are acceptable. In the abstract (P3, line77), it is notified as the first case used this method, while in the P5, line 131, you mentioned that "few studies have reported ultrasound-guided LRB", please elaborate it more. In the Method section, there some questions to be clarified: - P6, line 147, what does Acid Pain mean? - P6, line 160, it seems there is a discrepancy between VAS and ODI. Please explain more. - P7, line 177, the probe frequency was typed 6-1, is it correct. Also, would not it better if you used a linear probe instead. For illustrations, it could be better to put some pictures of the patient position, right place of probe position and needle insertion. References: few of them are too old.(ie. Reference #4) Thank you,