

# World Journal of *Transplantation*

Quarterly Volume 14 Number 1 March 18, 2024



**EDITORIAL**

Lindner C, Riquelme R, San Martín R, Quezada F, Valenzuela J, Maureira JP, Einersen M. Improving the radiological diagnosis of hepatic artery thrombosis after liver transplantation: Current approaches and future challenges. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 88938 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88938](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88938)]

Gonzalez FM, Cohens FG. Predicting outcomes after kidney transplantation: Can Pareto's rules help us to do so? *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 90149 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.90149](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.90149)]

**REVIEW**

Khalil MAM, Sadagah NM, Tan J, Syed FO, Chong VH, Al-Qurashi SH. Pros and cons of live kidney donation in prediabetics: A critical review and way forward. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 89822 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89822](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89822)]

**MINIREVIEWS**

Maqbool S, Baloch MF, Khan MAK, Khalid A, Naimat K. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation conditioning regimens and chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy in various diseases. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 87532 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.87532](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.87532)]

Karageorgos FF, Neiros S, Karakasi KE, Vasileiadou S, Katsanos G, Antoniadis N, Tsoulfas G. Artificial kidney: Challenges and opportunities. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 89025 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89025](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89025)]

Kosuta I, Kelava T, Ostojic A, Sesa V, Mrzljak A, Lalic H. Immunology demystified: A guide for transplant hepatologists. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 89772 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89772](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89772)]

Ranawaka R, Dayasiri K, Sandamali E, Gamage M. Management strategies for common viral infections in pediatric renal transplant recipients. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 89978 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89978](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89978)]

Salvadori M, Rosso G. Update on the reciprocal interference between immunosuppressive therapy and gut microbiota after kidney transplantation. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 90194 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.90194](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.90194)]

Mubarak M, Raza A, Rashid R, Sapna F, Shakeel S. Thrombotic microangiopathy after kidney transplantation: Expanding etiologic and pathogenetic spectra. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 90277 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.90277](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.90277)]

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE****Retrospective Cohort Study**

Isa HM, Alkharsi FA, Khamis JK, Hasan SA, Naser ZA, Mohamed ZN, Mohamed AM, Altamimi SA. Pediatric and adult liver transplantation in Bahrain: The experiences in a country with no available liver transplant facilities. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 87752 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.87752](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.87752)]

Utz Melere M, Sanha V, Farina M, da Silva CS, Nader L, Trein C, Lucchese AM, Ferreira C, Kalil AN, Feier FH. Primary liver transplantation vs transplant after Kasai portoenterostomy in children with biliary atresia: A retrospective Brazilian single-center cohort. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 88734 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88734](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88734)]

**Retrospective Study**

Andacoglu OM, Dennahy IS, Mountz NC, Wilschrey L, Oezcelik A. Impact of sex on the outcomes of deceased donor liver transplantation. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 88133 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88133](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88133)]

Custodio G, Massutti AM, Caramori A, Pereira TG, Dalazen A, Scheidt G, Thomazini L, Leitão CB, Rech TH. Association of donor hepatectomy time with liver transplantation outcomes: A multicenter retrospective study. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 89702 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89702](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89702)]

**Observational Study**

Pahari H, Raj A, Sawant A, Ahire DS, Rathod R, Rathi C, Sankalecha T, Palnitkar S, Raut V. Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma in India: Are we ready for 2040? *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 88833 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88833](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88833)]

Jesrani AK, Faiq SM, Rashid R, Kalwar TA, Mohsin R, Aziz T, Khan NA, Mubarak M. Comparison of resistive index and shear-wave elastography in the evaluation of chronic kidney allograft dysfunction. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 89255 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89255](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89255)]

**SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS**

Chongo G, Soldera J. Use of machine learning models for the prognostication of liver transplantation: A systematic review. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 88891 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88891](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.88891)]

Agosti E, Zeppieri M, Pagnoni A, Fontanella MM, Fiorindi A, Ius T, Panciani PP. Current status and future perspectives on stem cell transplantation for spinal cord injury. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 89674 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89674](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89674)]

**CASE REPORT**

Sánchez Pérez B, Pérez Reyes M, Aranda Narvaez J, Santoyo Villalba J, Perez Daga JA, Sanchez-Gonzalez C, Santoyo-Santoyo J. New therapeutic strategy with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for refractory hepatopulmonary syndrome after liver transplant: A case report. *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 89223 [DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89223](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.89223)]

**ABOUT COVER**

Editor-in-Chief of *World Journal of Transplantation*, Maurizio Salvadori, MD, Professor, Renal Unit, Department of Transplantation, University of Florence, Florence 50139, Italy. maurizio.salvadori1@gmail.com

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Transplantation* (*WJT*, *World J Transplant*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of transplantation with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJT* mainly publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of transplantation and covering a wide range of topics including bone transplantation, brain tissue transplantation, corneal transplantation, descemet stripping endothelial keratoplasty, fetal tissue transplantation, heart transplantation, kidney transplantation, liver transplantation, lung transplantation, pancreas transplantation, skin transplantation, etc.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The *WJT* is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The *WJT*'s CiteScore for 2022 is 2.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2022: Transplantation is 23/51.

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yan-Liang Zhang, Production Department Director: Xu Guo, Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ping Yan.

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Transplantation*

**ISSN**

ISSN 2220-3230 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

December 24, 2011

**FREQUENCY**

Quarterly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Maurizio Salvadori, Sami Akbulut, Vassilios Papalois, Atul C Mehta

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3230/editorialboard.htm>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

March 18, 2024

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION ETHICS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

## Predicting outcomes after kidney transplantation: Can Pareto's rules help us to do so?

Fernando M Gonzalez, Francisca Gonzalez Cohens

**Specialty type:** Transplantation

**Provenance and peer review:**

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): A

Grade B (Very good): B

Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Markic D, Croatia;  
Tsoulfas G, Greece

**Received:** November 24, 2023

**Peer-review started:** November 24, 2023

**First decision:** November 30, 2023

**Revised:** January 13, 2024

**Accepted:** February 5, 2024

**Article in press:** February 5, 2024

**Published online:** March 18, 2024



**Fernando M Gonzalez**, Department of Nephrology, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de Chile, Santiago 7500922, Chile

**Francisca Gonzalez Cohens**, Web Intelligence Centre, Faculty of Physics and Mathematical Sciences, Santiago 7500922, Chile

**Corresponding author:** Fernando M Gonzalez, MD, Full Professor, Department of Nephrology, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de Chile, Av. Salvador 486, Providencia, Santiago 7500922, Chile. [fgonzalf@uc.cl](mailto:fgonzalf@uc.cl)

### Abstract

Kidney transplantation is the best option for kidney replacement therapy, even considering that most of the times the grafts do not survive as long as their recipients. In the Khalil *et al's* experience, published in this issue of the Journal, they analyze their second kidney graft survival and describe those significant predictors of early loss. This editorial comments on the results and put in perspective that most of the times, long-term graft survival could be inadvertently jeopardized if the immunosuppressive therapy is reduced or withdrawn for any reason, and that it could happen frequently if the transplant physician intends to innovate with the clinical care without proper evidence-based data.

**Key Words:** Kidney transplantation; Graft survival; Acute rejection; Interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy; Immunosuppression

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Most of the times, kidney graft and recipient survivals do not match because of earlier graft failure. Apart from surgical or urological complications, the reason frequently is the appearance of donor-specific antibodies that mediate acute and chronic allograft damage because treating physicians intend to construct a tailor-made immunosuppressive therapy to each of their patients.

**Citation:** Gonzalez FM, Cohens FG. Predicting outcomes after kidney transplantation: Can Pareto's rules help us to do so? *World J Transplant* 2024; 14(1): 90149

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3230/full/v14/i1/90149.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v14.i1.90149>

## INTRODUCTION

Kidney transplantation is the best option for kidney replacement therapy, even considering that most of the times the grafts do not survive as long as their recipients. In those patients who experience the failure of the transplanted graft, it is still possible to perform a second, or even a third, transplant, because these organs still perform better than dialysis.

From a process management perspective, the best option to prolong the survival of those patients suffering from end-stage renal disease is to optimize dialysis quality while they are waiting for a transplant. Then, efforts should be taken to try to prolong the survival of their first kidney graft. The question is how to accomplish this last issue in the real world.

In 1906, Vilfredo Pareto postulated that 80% of the consequences come from 20% of causes[1] and from this perspective, the main causes of transplant failures should be few. In the Khalil *et al's* experience[2], published in this issue of the Journal, they state that the first graft failed mainly because of two drivers: Primary non-function, explained by a recipient high body mass index ( $P = 0.009$ ), and first graft loss because of acute rejection ( $P = 0.025$ ). They also found that the survival of the second graft was reduced if the first one presented delayed graft function ( $P = 0.008$  and  $P < 0.001$ , respectively), and also if the first graft underwent an acute rejection in the first year after the first transplant ( $P = 0.053$ ) [2]. It is possible to think that Khalil *et al*[2] describe two main determinants that explain their failures: Rejection due to primary non-function, and immunological and inflammatory progressive damage to the graft. The first determinant may be explained by organ donor maintenance quality before organ harvesting, cold and warm ischemia times lasting too long, and not enough expertise of the implanting surgeons, which are expected to decrease as the procurement and surgical teams get experience, as it is observed in countries with high rates of kidney transplants[3]. Regarding the second determinant, it is more difficult to avoid having acute rejection episodes because there are several graft-recipient pair factors that intervene in their development, such as human leukocyte antigen mismatches, prior sensitization, immunosuppressive schemes, drug quality, and patient compliance.

Putting our focus on rejection, there are several experiences that analyze graft biopsies from failing kidney transplants with an intention to answer why those kidney grafts fail in the medium-to-long term. Most of the time, either graft rejection (9%-64%) or non-specific chronic injury or, in other words, interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA, 24%-47%), is found[4]. It is also found that the rejection types and IFTA vary in parallel with the recipients' age and time after transplantation. But characteristically, there are more T-cell mediated rejections in the first 5 years after transplantation, and more antibody mediated rejections (ABMR) and IFTA after that period, while other causes of graft failure happen in young recipients[5].

By the way, what is IFTA? Is it synonymous with the term chronic allograft nephropathy (CAN)? At the end of last century, some experts thought that as grafts get older, they accumulate specific and non-specific damage resulting in sclerosis, increase in the interstitium collagen content, and tubular atrophy. This hypothesis was endorsed in a prospective protocol biopsy cohort of both kidney and pancreas transplantation in type 1 diabetics[6]. In fact, in this experience, Nankivell *et al*[6] showed that rejections predominated soon after transplantation, and both chronic damage and arteriolar hyalinosis predominated later on. Regrettably, a secondary hypothesis resulting from this experience was that calcineurin inhibitors (CNI), mostly cyclosporine, could be the culprit, which stimulated the transplant community to take non-evidence-based action to decrease or even withdraw the use of CNI. Some years later, we observed the appearance of donor-specific antibodies (DSA), and subsequently, of ABMR and graft losses as consequences. The histological morphology of these grafts reminded of the old CAN and, at the same time, the newer term IFTA, closing the circle of the main cause of the mismatch of kidney graft and transplanted recipient survivals, which is a chronic allograft rejection due to insufficient immunosuppression.

Nevertheless and sadly, this is not the whole story. Not providing enough immunosuppression could happen also because some doctors aspire to prescribe "patient-tailored therapies" based on their own perceptions/experiences, and believe more on that than on evidence-based medicine. There are several experiences, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses that show us that decreasing, or even worse, withdrawing any of the chronic immunosuppressive agents such as CNI, antiproliferatives, or steroids, is associated with the appearance of DSA, ABMR, and IFTA. These pathogenic mechanisms would be responsible for the decrease in graft survival and early graft loss[7-11].

Another explanatory variable could be frequent mycophenolate dose reduction, to even 50% below the standard and approved dose, occurring soon after transplantation, which is further associated with an increase in IFTA[12,13]. Moreover, this unintended and naïve behavior, which tries to ameliorate drug-related adverse events, could be accompanied with a decrease in CNI dose, resulting in less immunosuppression than prudence suggests[14].

## CONCLUSION

From Khalil *et al's* data[2], it is interesting to learn that for achieving a long kidney transplant survival, it is advisable to be prepared in different frontlines: (1) Having a well-trained team in order to surpass surgical technical difficulties, such as

primary non-function because of recipient's body mass index; and (2) prescribing a well-balanced immunosuppressive therapy to maximize patients' adherence, and minimize the probability of DSA, ABMR, IFTA, and of course, drug-related adverse effects, issues that may threaten the task of prolonging the survival of a first (or second) transplanted allograft, with the objective of matching it with the survival of the recipient blessed by that transplant.

## FOOTNOTES

**Author contributions:** Gonzalez FM is main author and mostly wrote the manuscript; Cohens FG contributed to bibliographic searches and core idea construction, and edited the manuscript.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** Chile

**ORCID number:** Fernando M Gonzalez [0000-0003-2742-5220](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2742-5220).

**S-Editor:** Li L

**L-Editor:** Wang TQ

**P-Editor:** Zhao S

## REFERENCES

- Dunford R, Su Q, Tamang E. The Pareto Principle. *The Plymouth Stud Sci* 2014; **7**: 140-114
- Khalil M, Gadelkareem RA, Abdallah MA, Sayed MA, Elanany FG, Fornara P, Mohammed N. Predictors of graft function and survival in second kidney transplantation: A single center experience. *World J Transplant* 2023; **13**: 331-343 [PMID: [38174152](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38174152/) DOI: [10.5500/wjt.v13.i6.331](https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v13.i6.331)]
- Akoh JA. Kidney donation after cardiac death. *World J Nephrol* 2012; **1**: 79-91 [PMID: [24175245](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24175245/) DOI: [10.5527/wjn.v1.i3.79](https://doi.org/10.5527/wjn.v1.i3.79)]
- Van Loon E, Bernards J, Van Craenenbroeck AH, Naesens M. The Causes of Kidney Allograft Failure: More Than Alloimmunity. A Viewpoint Article. *Transplantation* 2020; **104**: e46-e56 [PMID: [32000235](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32000235/) DOI: [10.1097/TP.0000000000003012](https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0000000000003012)]
- Betjes MGH, Roelen DL, van Agteren M, Kal-van Gestel J. Causes of Kidney Graft Failure in a Cohort of Recipients With a Very Long-Time Follow-Up After Transplantation. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2022; **9**: 842419 [PMID: [35733857](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35733857/) DOI: [10.3389/fmed.2022.842419](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.842419)]
- Nankivell BJ, Borrows RJ, Fung CL, O'Connell PJ, Allen RD, Chapman JR. The natural history of chronic allograft nephropathy. *N Engl J Med* 2003; **349**: 2326-2333 [PMID: [14668458](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14668458/) DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa020009](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa020009)]
- Opelz G, Döhler B. Effect on kidney graft survival of reducing or discontinuing maintenance immunosuppression after the first year posttransplant. *Transplantation* 2008; **86**: 371-376 [PMID: [18698238](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18698238/) DOI: [10.1097/TP.0b013e31817fd4db](https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0b013e31817fd4db)]
- Bai H, Qian Y, Shi B, Wang Z, Li G, Fan Y, Yuan M, Liu L. Effectiveness and safety of calcineurin inhibitor withdrawal in kidney transplantation: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2015; **19**: 1189-1198 [PMID: [25820574](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25820574/) DOI: [10.1007/s10157-015-1109-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10157-015-1109-z)]
- Haller MC, Royuela A, Nagler EV, Pascual J, Webster AC. Steroid avoidance or withdrawal for kidney transplant recipients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; **2016**: CD005632 [PMID: [27546100](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27546100/) DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD005632.pub3](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005632.pub3)]
- Agur T, Rahamimov R, Zingerman B, Bielopolski D, Lichtenberg S, Neshet E, Rozen-Zvi B. Exposure to tacrolimus trough levels below 6 ng/mL during the first year is associated with inferior kidney graft survival. *Clin Transplant* 2023; **37**: e14879 [PMID: [36480165](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36480165/) DOI: [10.1111/ctr.14879](https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.14879)]
- Sharma A, Cherukuri A, Mehta RB, Sood P, Hariharan S. High Calcineurin Inhibitor Inpatient Variability Is Associated With Renal Allograft Inflammation, Chronicity, and Graft Loss. *Transplant Direct* 2019; **5**: e424 [PMID: [30882028](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30882028/) DOI: [10.1097/TXD.0000000000000862](https://doi.org/10.1097/TXD.0000000000000862)]
- Langone A, Shihab F, Pankewycz O, Doria C, Wiland A, McCague K, Chan L. Long-term dosing patterns of enteric-coated mycophenolate sodium or mycophenolate mofetil with tacrolimus after renal transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2014; **28**: 961-967 [PMID: [24893821](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24893821/) DOI: [10.1111/ctr.12392](https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.12392)]
- Mihovilović K, Maksimović B, Kocman B, Guštin D, Vidas Z, Bulimbašić S, Ljubanović DG, Matovinović MS, Knotek M. Effect of mycophenolate mofetil on progression of interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy after kidney transplantation: a retrospective study. *BMJ Open* 2014; **4**: e005005 [PMID: [24993756](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24993756/) DOI: [10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005005](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005005)]
- Gonzalez F. Empirical or unconscious reduction of the secondary immunosuppressive drug concomitantly with intended calcineurin inhibitor reduced exposure to improve kidney graft function can be followed by antibody mediated rejections. *Clin Transplant* 2015; **29**: 277-278 [PMID: [25721942](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25721942/) DOI: [10.1111/ctr.12512](https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.12512)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568  
**E-mail:** [office@baishideng.com](mailto:office@baishideng.com)  
**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

