

## Reply to the reviewers' comments

Reviewer Number	Original comments of the reviewer	Reply by the author(s)	Changes done on page number and line number
1	Your manuscript is rather general, with no exact numbers from the referenced studies (e.g. number of the patients, P values), it resembles a text in a textbook more than a text in a professional scientific journal.	Dear Reviewer, In response to your concerns regarding the lack of detail, we have diligently revised the manuscript, incorporating specific numerical data (e.g. number of the patients, P values) from referenced studies. We believe these additions significantly enhance the clarity and precision of our work.	Page no. 3 Line no. 11-29  Page no. 4 Line no. 1-7,10-16,19,24-29,31  Page no. 5 Line no. 1-2,26-29  Page no. 6 Line no. 18-21,26  Page no. 7 Line no. 30-31  Page no. 8 Line no. 1-9,20-22
1	Introduction: No exact scientific data are stated. The text is rather a summary than an introduction of a scientific article. In my opinion, the part Introduction is not necessary, the manuscript can start with the next part (Prevalence and Risk Factors...) You quote a lot of studies in your manuscript but you did not describe how you selected the referenced literature (which database of articles, which key words you applied, which	Dear Reviewer, Thank you for your feedback. We've revised the Introduction to be more concise and have added a Method section detailing our literature selection process. We've also incorporated more specific scientific data from referenced studies. We believe these changes enhance the manuscript's clarity and scientific rigor.	Page no. 2 Line no. 5-31

	language of the articles you accepted, based on what you selected the referenced articles etc.) - The topic of your manuscript is interesting and valuable, but it requires more exact scientific data, not only general summaries of the referenced studies.		
2	Minor language polishing Conclusion: Minor revision Your work is quite innovative for the field. I have stated a couple of points in the appendix.	Dear Reviewer, Language polishing has been done	
Editor	Please provide the filled conflict-of-interest disclosure form.	Dear Editor it has been provided.	
Editor	Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a table/figure to the manuscript.	Dear Editor, Table has been added.	
Editor	To this end, authors are advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the RCA, of which data source is PubMed.	Dear Editor, Changes has been done as suggested.	
Editor	Please add the Core tip section. The number of words should be controlled between 50-100 words	Dear Editor, Core tip has been added.	
Editor	The English-language grammatical presentation needs to be improved to a certain extent. There are many errors in grammar and format, throughout the entire manuscript.	Dear Editor, It has been corrected.	