

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 90474

Title: Efficacy and safety of endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer and precancerous lesions in elderly patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07916864

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-07 01:57

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-19 07:28

Review time: 12 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The long- and short-term outcomes of patients eligible for ESD are comparable with those undergoing gastric resection. ESD is associated with less complications, shorter hospital stays and better quality of life. In the clinical practice, identifying risk factors for cancer recurrence and then developing corresponding therapy strategies is essential for the intervention in elderly patients with early gastric cancer and precancerous lesions. In this study, the authors investigate the efficacy and safety ESD in the treatment of early gastric cancer and precancerous lesions in the elderly patients. The study is overall well performed and the findings are interesting. Minor comments: 1. The manuscript requires a minor editing. Some spelling mistakes should be corrected. 2. The abstract is too long, please short it. 3. The conclusion is missing in the main text. Please check and revise it.