

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 90537

Title: Rescue from Complications after Pancreaticoduodenectomies at a Low-Volume Caribbean Center: Value of Tailored Peri-Pancreatectomy Protocols

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05342613

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-06

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-20 12:57

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-25 10:42

Review time: 4 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. YES 2 Abstract. YES 3 Key Words. YES. Suitable 4 Background. Needs some contemporary literature data about postoperative complications. 5 Methods. Describes well 6 Results. Although they are a low-volume center, their data are compatible with the literature. Having two experienced HPB experts may play a role in this. 7 Discussion. The HPB protocol implemented by the authors is quite well organized. Good preoperative and postoperative care may have contributed to the good results. 8 Illustrations and tables. Kelime yazım hataları olabilir. Kontrolü önerilir 9 Biostatistics. YES 10 Units. YES 11 References. 5-6 of the 32 bibliographies belong to the years after 2017. 12 The subject is explained very simply. The results and the applied procedure can gain meaning in the literature as demographic data. 13 YES. The subject is explained very simply. The results and the applied procedure can gain meaning in the literature as demographic data. 14 Ethics statements. Suitable