

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

 Manuscript page number (Without Figures):
 10
 Rate: 6.2 CNY per page

 Editing Fee:
 .62
 CNY

 Ethics and relevant document handling time (Clinical and Basic Research Original Articles)!:
 3. h

 Rate:
 60 CNY per h

 Editing Fee:
 _____CNY

 Ethics and relevant document handling time (Case Report and Other Types of Articles)!:
 0.8 h

 Rate:
 60 CNY per hour

 Editing Fee:
 _____CNY

 Itimage count:
 0
 Image handling time:
 0 min Rate:
 1 CNY per min

 Editing Fee:
 0
 CNY

 XML and PDF converting time:
 min Rate:
 1 CNY per min

 Editing Fee:
 ______CNY

 Manuscript word count:
 2364

 Total Editing Fee:
 _______10
 CNY

Scientific Editor: Jing-Jie Wang

Date of signature: <u>2/27/2024</u> (month/day/year)

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells	
1	Manuscript NO.: 90591	[Y]
	Column: Editorial	
	Title: O-linked β -N-acetylglucosaminylation may be a key	



	regulatory factor in promoting osteogenic differentiation of bone	
	marrow mesenchymal stromal cells	
	Authors: Xu-Chang Zhou and Guo-Xin Ni	
	Reviewer code: 05218129	
	First decision: 2024-01-29 21:02	
	Editorial Office's Comments	
	Science Editor: Similar sentences with other articles (highlighted in	
	the CrossCheck Report), please rephrase these sentences.Your	
	manuscript has been checked by CrossCheck. Please read the	
	attached CrossCheck report for details. Our editorial policy states	
	the overall similarity should be less than 30%, the overlapped	
	section should be less than 5% in single papers, including author's	
	own work.	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review	
	Report, full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic	
2	publishing requirements of the World Journal of Stem Cells, and the	[Y]
	manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to	
	the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report,	
	Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript	
	Revision by Authors.When revising the manuscript, it is	
	recommended that the author supplement and improve the	
	highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further	
	improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are	
	advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the Reference Citation	
	Analysis (RCA), of which data source is PubMed. RCA is a unique	



	artificial intelligence system for citation index evaluation of medical	
	science and life science literature. In it, upon obtaining search	
	results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per	
	Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest	
	highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an	
	article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our	
	RCA database for more information at:	
	https://www.referencecitationanalysis	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific	
	contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed	
	in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
	abbreviated first and middles names.	
	<i>e.g.,</i> "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang	
7	CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the	[Y]
	research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed	
	the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	
	analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and	
	Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors	
	have read and approve the final manuscript."	



l
_



	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
14	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	
	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
15	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [<i>i.e.</i> ,	[Y]
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	
	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	
	current study, the information is presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	
	describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data	
16	presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	[N]
	study.	
	5	



	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
17	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	[N]
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
18	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, $cP < 0.05$ and $dP < 0.01$ are used,	[N]
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as $^{e}P < 0.05$ and $^{f}P < 0.01$.	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
19	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	[N]]
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	[N]
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
20	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	[N]
	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	[N]
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
21	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	[NI]
41	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[N]



	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
22	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	[Y]
	reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	(<i>e.g.</i> , Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	
	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
22	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	[Y]
23	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of	
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
	follows:	
24	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	[\]
	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	[Y]
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
25	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[N]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	



F		
26	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
	JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (e.g., +, -, ×, \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
27	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	[N]
27	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[N]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[N]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
20	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	
29	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
20	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	[] /]
30	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoReview; e.g., 870- Review).	
01	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	53/3
31	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); e.g., 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	
32	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	
	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	5373
	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	[Y]
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	



	trial maintains at the second of the second s]
	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; e.g.,	[Y]
	870-Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]
	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; e.g., 870-Language certificate).	
	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
25	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	[37]
35	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for	[N]
	use of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	
	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
	the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	
	the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	
37	contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	[Y]
	journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	
	The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at	
	1440×680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has	
	been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report	
	consurved under the manie, manuscript No Crosseneek report	



	(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been	
	performed to further ensure publication of original content.	
	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with	
38	1.5 line spacing.	
	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the	
	entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling,	
Responsibilities	grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and	
of scientific	legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) ir	
editors	tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript	
	according to the reviewers' comments.	
	Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer	
	review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be	
	accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be	
Publication	accepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality	
	control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging	
process	of publication fee \rightarrow Language editing \rightarrow Production \rightarrow Proofreading by scientific	
	editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release	
	of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of	
	online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	
	author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.	
	¹ Ethics and Relevant Document Handling Time	
	For the checking of the ethics and relevant document, the editing fee should be	
	calculated independently to the Scientific Editor. The handling time of the ethics	
Notes	and relevant documents for clinical and basic researches is calculated as 3 hours, for	
	case reports and other types of manuscript is calculated as 2 hours.	
	If any errors are found in ethics and relevant document after a manuscript is	
	published, the Scientific Editor's one day Welfare provided by the Baishideng	
	Publishing Group will be deducted.	