

Ms. No. 90679, Meta-Analysis

February 6, 2024

Reply to reviewers

Reviewer #1:

1. The manuscript requires an editing. Some minor language polishing should be revised.

Response: Thanks for your suggestion. As suggested, the manuscript has been edited by a professional for English and a corresponding English editing certificate has been issued (see attached certificate).

2. The abstract should be re-written: Background, aim, methods, results, conclusion..

Response: Thanks for your constructive suggestion. As suggested, The abstract has been rewritten as required: Background, aim, methods, results, conclusion.

3. The limit of the study should be discussed.

Response: Thanks for your constructive suggestion. As suggested, The limit of the study has been added to the discussion section. Please refer to the highlighted section for details..

4. Please update and edit the references list.

Response: Thanks for your constructive suggestion. As suggested, the references list has been modified according to the guidelines of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery.

5. Images should be improved..

Response: Thanks for your constructive suggestion. As suggested, I have made the necessary modifications to the images as requested, providing editable and movable components. I have organized them into a PowerPoint file and submitted it in the format "90679-Figures.pptx" to the system. The images have been uploaded to the designated destination under "Image file."