Response to the Academic Editor and Reviewer's Comment

Response to Editor:

Dear Reviewer,

I am truly grateful for the time and effort you dedicated to the review process.

Your review comments demonstrated your familiarity with relevant issues in the field,

and they have provided us with valuable perspectives and suggestions. We carefully

considered each of your suggestions and made corresponding revisions based on your

guidance. Once again, thank you for your patience and professionalism. The responds

to your comments are as below.

Best regards,

Huifang Zhang

Reviewer #1

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors:

accept as is. The paper is well written and of interest it concerns quantification of

specific genes in serum of AD patients (a.g CXCL12, sCD22, Lp-PLA2 Levels as

biomarkers) the data is available there is a table and 2 figures.

Reply: Thank you very much for taking time out of your busy schedule to review our

manuscript. We appreciate your efforts for our manuscript. Once again, thank you for

your patience and professionalism.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and

suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor:

1 Conflict of interest statement: Academic Editor has no conflict of interest.

Reply: Thank you for your professional advice. We have filled in the conflict of interest disclosure form and uploaded it to the system for your review.

2 Scientific classification: Grade A.

Reply: Thank you for your professional advice. Thank you for your efforts for our manuscript.

3 Language Quality: Grade A. The English-language grammatical presentation needs to be improved to a certain extent. There are many errors in grammar and format, throughout the entire manuscript. Before final acceptance, the authors must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend:

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240.

Reply: Thank you very much for your suggestion. We have hired Enago for advanced polishing and assisted with language polishing and formatting adjustments. We believe these revisions and professional language editing have substantially improved our manuscript, aligning it more closely with the journal's standards. The revised manuscript and the certificate of editing provided by Enago are attached for your review.



4 Specific comments: (1) Please provide the filled conflict-of-interest disclosure form.

Reply: Thank you very much for your reminding. We have filled in the conflict of interest disclosure form and uploaded it to the system for your review.

5 Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT.

All text can be edited, including A, B, arrows, etc. With respect to the reference to the Figure, please verify if it is an original image created for the manuscript, if not, please provide the source of the picture and the proof that the Figure has been authorized by the previous publisher or copyright owner to allow it to be redistributed. All legends are incorrectly formatted and require a general title and explanation for each figure. Such as Figure 1 title. A: ; B: ; C: .

Reply: Thank you for your valuable feedback regarding the figures included in my manuscript. In accordance with your suggestions, I have revised the figures and prepared them in PowerPoint format, with editable text including labels such as A, B, arrows, etc., as requested. I'm confirm that all figures were created specifically for this manuscript and are not derived from other commercial or published works. Therefore, no additional permissions are required for their use and redistribution.

Furthermore, I have reformatted the legends for each figure to include a general title

and detailed explanations, ensuring that each figure adheres to the proper format. The

revised figures and legends have been compiled into a single PowerPoint file, which I

will submit through the manuscript submission system.

6 The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights"

section at the end of the main text (and directly before the References).

Reply: Thank you for pointing out the omission of the "Article Highlights" section. I

have now added this section accordingly in line 73-77 as follow:

Article highlight:(1) Serum CXCL12, sCD22, Lp-PLA2 levels, and their ratios offer

potential as new biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease (AD); (2) Our findings enhance

early detection of Alzheimer's disease and inform clinical intervention strategies.

Once again, we thank the editors as well as the reviewer for providing very

important and constructive feedback. We believe this has enhanced the quality of our

work. We hope that the reviewed and refined manuscript is at par with the standards

of your prestigious journal and manuscript is acceptable for publications.

With best regards!

Huifang Zhang