

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 90924

Title: Young patient with a giant gastric bronchogenic cyst: A case report and literature

review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03366864 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-19 00:34

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-21 02:29

Review time: 2 Days and 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
-	,



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written case report of a rare condition. Several points for improvement in this manuscript are outlined below: 1. Were tumor markers measured preoperatively? If so, please provide the details. 2. If there are non-contrast CT images available, please add them. Additionally, include cross-sectional images that show the relationship between the lesion and the diaphragm. 3. Was fine-needle aspiration (FNA) performed during EUS? If so, it might have allowed for a more accurate preoperative diagnosis. 4. The final diagnosis should be described after the treatment. 5. If there are surgical photos illustrating the continuity between the stomach and the lesion, please present them. Furthermore, if corresponding CT images are available, it would be even better. 6. Could the authors discuss what diseases were considered as preoperative diagnoses? Please refer to Table 1 while discussing this point.