

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 90954

Title: Overview of ectopic pancreas

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05429703

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-18 23:45

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-26 12:51

Review time: 7 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

As a critical reader, I evaluated with interest the Editorial “Overview of ectopic pancreas” written by Li CF and colleagues. The text is well written, pleasant to read and provides important information for the management of ectopic pancreas in clinical practice. I think that to further improve the information contained in the Editorial, some corrections/suggestions are necessary to be made: Traditionally, an Editorial should comment on the strengths and gaps/limitations of a specific article or research. Therefore, it is important that authors include in the text of their editorial some paragraphs containing the strengths and gaps of the paper by Hui-Da Zheng and colleagues. In the “Core tip” section, the authors state that ectopic pancreas is a rare condition. However, in autopsy series, the incidence of ectopic pancreas ranges from 0.5 to 13% in the general population [References 1–4] Therefore, I suggest that the authors reevaluate this statement taking into account the references below [1–4] 1. Ryu DY, Kim GH, Park DY, et al. Endoscopic removal of gastric ectopic pancreas: an initial experience with endoscopic submucosal dissection. World J Gastroenterol. 2010;16(36):4589–4593. 2. DeBord JR, Majarakis JD, Nyhus LM. An unusual case of heterotopic pancreas of the

stomach. Am J Surg. 1981;141(2):269-273. 3. Chandan VS, Wang W. Pancreatic heterotopia in the gastric antrum. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2004;128(1):111-112. 4. Jiang LX, Xu J, Wang XW, et al.. Gastric outlet obstruction caused by heterotopic pancreas: A case report and a quick review. World J Gastroenterol. 2008;14:6757-59. In the "Conclusion" section it is important to emphasize that many patients with ectopic pancreas remain completely asymptomatic throughout their lives and without developing any complications. Therefore, to avoid misleading recommendations regarding the management of ectopic pancreas, I suggest that the authors include the term symptomatic in the last line of their conclusions, i.e...Endoscopic treatment has been widely advocated in symptomatic ectopic pancreas.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 90954

Title: Overview of ectopic pancreas

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05429703

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-18

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-02-01 16:00

Reviewer performed review: 2024-02-02 16:19

Review time: 1 Day

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors appropriately review the paper, responding to and having included the suggestions made by the Editor and reviewers. I think that the paper was much improved after the corrections that were made by the authors and that, therefore, the article could be published.