

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 91013

Title: Seeing Beneath the Surface: Harnessing Point-of-Care Ultrasound for Internal

Jugular Vein Evaluation

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02636483 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FCPS, FRCP, MBBS, MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Pakistan

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-27 02:57

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-27 03:03

Review time: 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade D. No scientific significance
	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ]
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)
	[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good manuscript, although not innovative. It reiterates the basic concept of POCUS in clinical assessment. Manuscript is well written with good support of references.