**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 91120

**Manuscript Type:** CASE REPORT

**Deferred revascularization in diabetic patient according to combined invasive functional and intravascular imaging data: A case report**

Al Nooryani A *et al*. Functional/imaging evaluation of coronary stenosis

Arif Al Nooryani, Wael Aboushokka, Branko Beleslin, Biljana Nedeljkovic-Beleslin

**Arif Al Nooryani, Wael Aboushokka,** Department of Cardiology, Al Qassimi Hospital, Sharjah 1234, United Arab Emirates

**Branko Beleslin,** Department of Cardiology, Medical Faculty, University of Belgrade, Belgrade 11000, Serbia

**Biljana Nedeljkovic-Beleslin,** Institute of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders, Univeristy Clinical Center of Serbia, Belgrade 11000, Serbia

**Biljana Nedeljkovic-Beleslin,** Department of Internal Medicine/Endocrinology, Medical faculty, University of Belgrade, Belgrade 11000, Serbia

**Author contributions:** Al Nooryani A contributed to conception, design, acquisition of data and final approval; Aboushokka W contributed to acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation; Beleslin B contributed to conception, design, acquisition of data, analysis, writing the case report; Nedeljkovic-Beleslin B contributed to analysis, interpretation and critical analysis.

**Corresponding author: Branko Beleslin, FACC, FESC, MD, PhD, Full Professor,** Department of Cardiology, Medical Faculty, University of Belgrade, Dr Subotica 8, Belgrade 11000, Serbia. branko.beleslin@gmail.com

**Received:** December 22, 2023

**Revised:** January 25, 2024

**Accepted:** March 20, 2024

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Invasive functional evaluation by fractional flow reserve (FFR) is considered as a gold standard for the evaluation of intermediate coronary stenosis. However, in patients with diabetes due to accelerated progression of atherosclerosis the outcome may be worse even in the presence of negative functional testing.

CASE SUMMARY

We present a case of 55-year-old male diabetic patient who was admitted for chest pain. Diagnostic coronary angiography disclosed 2 intermediate stenoses of the obtuse marginal branch with no evidence of restenosis on previously implanted stent. Patient undergone invasive functional testing of intermediate lesion with preserved FFR (0.88), low coronary flow reserve (1.2) and very high index of microvascular resistance (84). Due to discrepancy in invasive functional parameters, intravascular imaging with optical coherence tomography showed fibrotic stenoses without signs of thin-sup fibroatheroma. Because of the preserved FFR and no signs of vulnerable plaque, the interventional procedure was deferred and the patient continued with optimal medications.

CONCLUSION

Combined functional and anatomic imaging of intermediate coronary stenosis in diabetic patients represent comprehensive contemporary decision pathway in the management of the patients.

**Key Words:** Fractional flow reserve; Coronary flow reserve; Index of microvascular resistance; Optical coherence tomography; Intermediate coronary stenosis; Case report

Al Nooryani A, Aboushokka W, Beleslin B, Nedeljkovic-Beleslin B. Deferred revascularization in diabetic patient according to combined invasive functional and intravascular imaging data: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; In press

**Core Tip:** We present a case of the diabetic patient with moderate-to-severe coronary stenosis with preserved fractional flow reserve, low coronary flow reserve, high index of microvascular resistance and intravascular optical coherence tomography image demonstrating fibrotic plaque without signs of thin-cup fibroatheroma. Combined functional and anatomic imaging of intermediate coronary stenosis represent comprehensive contemporary decision pathway in the management of the patients.

**INTRODUCTION**

Fractional flow reserve (FFR) is considered as a functional gold standard for the evaluation of coronary stenosis, and the decision for management of intermediate coronary stenosis should be based on functional evaluation[1]. Still, there are some clinical conditions, such as patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) where the value of FFR is still not so well validated and defined. In fact, the previous data have shown that the outcome of deferred lesions according to negative FFR is worse in patients with DM in comparison to non-diabetic patients[2]. In addition, COMBINE optical coherence tomography (OCT) FFR trial has demonstrated additional value of intravascular OCT imaging in stratification of diabetic patients with the negative FFR[3].

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

We present a case of 55-year-old male diabetic patient who was admitted to our clinic for chest pain syndrome. His chest pain appeared occasionally during last 2 wk and had rather atypical presentation both at rest and after exertion. It was not accompanied by any other symptoms like dyspnea, fatigue or nausea. Due to recent appearance of chest pain of uncertain origin as well as previous implanted stent in left main coronary artery, the patient was referred directly to invasive coronary angiography without non/invasive functional testing.

***History of present illness***

Three years ago, he suffered from non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction due to critical lesion of the ostial circumflex (Cx) artery which was treated with implantation of one drug-eluting stent (DES) with crossover from left main to Cx coronary artery (Figure 1). Following DES implantation from left main to Cx, 2 intermediate stenoses of the proximal part of the long second obtuse marginal branch (Figure 1A, black arrows) were observed that were estimated to be not significant without a need for further intervention. Four years ago, he also had percutaneous coronary intervention with one DES implantation in the right coronary artery (RCA). Since the last coronary event, the patient has been free of any symptom, he was feeling physically and emotionally fit. His medications included aspirin, beta-blockers, and rosuvastatin.

***History of past illness***

Patient has been obese (102 kg), and has elevated HbA1c levels with no regular anti-diabetic therapy.

***Personal and family history***

Patient denies smoking, doesn’t have hypertension, and denies alcohol abuse. He has elevated cholesterol levels treated with statins.

***Physical examination***

On physical examination there was no remarkable finding. His blood pressure was 130/80 mmHg. His heart sounds were normal, without significant murmurs. His lung fields were clear, and there were no signs of heart failure. His ECG was normal with sinus rhythm, heart rate of 62/min, without conduction and rhythm disturbances as well as ST-T changes.

***Laboratory examinations***

The laboratory values showed normal blood count, elevated low density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol of 2.3 mmol/L, HbA1c was 6.1%, and the renal function was preserved with estimated glomerular filtration rate of 75 mL/min/1.73m2.

***Imaging examinations***

His cardiac ultrasound was normal with preserved ejection fraction, there were no wall motion abnormalities, the heart chambers were of normal dimensions as well as function and appearance of the valves. Coronary angiography showed no signs of restenosis in the left main to Cx stent, mild ostial stenosis/pinching of left anterior descending artery was unchanged to previous procedure, and 2 moderate-to-severe stenoses in the ostio-proximal part of the second obtuse marginal seem slightly tighter (Figure 2A) than 3 years ago. RCA was without signs of stenosis or restenosis. As there was no non-invasive functional testing for evaluation of myocardial ischemia, the decision was made to perform immediately invasive physiologic evaluation of the Cx obtuse marginal artery using Coroventis platform (Coroventis CoroFow Cardiovascular system, Abbot) and pressure wire (PressureWire X, Abbot) for the invasive functional assessment with FFR during hyperemia with i.v. adenosine, resting full-cycle ratio (RFR), coronary flow reserve (CFR) by thermodilution and index of microvascular resistance (IMR) for the assessment of microvascular dysfunction. FFR was preserved with a value of 0.88, RFR was also preserved with a value of 0.90 but CFR was very low (1.2) with a very high IMR of 84 (Figure 2B). As there was a discrepancy in functional parameters (FFR preserved, CFR low) pointing out to microvascular dysfunction, OCT imaging (DragonFly OpStar imaging catheter, Abbot) was also applied to evaluate the more detailed anatomical characteristics of the lesion. OCT imaging confirmed the significant fibrotic tandem stenoses but without signs of thin-cup fibroatheroma (Figure 3, co-registration of angiographic and OCT imaging, Ultreon 1.0 software for OCT intravascular imaging, Abbot) or plaque vulnerability.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

The diagnosis was that the patient had non-flow limiting coronary stenoses without signs of vulnerable plaque by OCT, and with significantly deteriorated microvascular function according to low coronary CFR and high IMR, which might be clinically defined as microvascular disease producing angina or chest pain syndrome.

**TREATMENT**

As the patient had negative or normal FFR value with no intravascular imaging signs of vulnerable plaque, the revascularization was deferred and the patient was recommended to continue with aspirin and to increase dosage of lipid lowering therapy and add ezetimibe to optimize LDL-cholesterol levels. In addition, due to microvascular dysfunction, as demonstrated with very low CFR and very high IMR, diltiazem and ranolazine were added to his therapy. Gluformine was also added to control diabetes.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

His six-month follow-up was uneventful with very rare atypical chest pain episodes.

**DISCUSSION**

The optimal diagnostic strategy in patients with diabetes remains controversial and challenging. The previous studies have shown low event rates in asymptomatic patients but also disparities (different cardiovascular risk groups, different non-invasive and invasive testing) in the management and screening of those patients[4]. According to the latest European Society of Cardiology guidelines[5], functional stress testing and computed tomography angiography may be considered and indicated in patients with diabetes as well. On the other hand, earlier studies with stress echocardiography have demonstrated that significantly more patients with diabetes have ischemia on stress testing, and that the prognosis in patients without ischemia is still worse in diabatic than non-diabatic patients[6]. In addition, noninvasively measured (2D echocardiography) CFR provides independent prognostic information in diabetic and non-diabetic patients and negative dipyridamole stress echocardiography - an abnormal CFR is associated with almost twice worse prognoses in diabetic in comparison to non-diabetic patients[7]. Finally, Murthy *et al*[8] have demonstrated that abnormal CFR by positron emission tomography is independent predictor of cardiac mortality in patients with diabetes and without known coronary artery disease.

Regarding invasive functional testing, Kennedy *et al*[2] has shown that deferred revascularization in 250 patients according to FFR have been connected with worse outcome in patients with diabetes in comparison to non-diabetic patients, in terms of significant more revascularizations (16% *vs* 6%) in the mean follow-up time of 40 months. Although earlier studies[9,10] have not shown significant differences in the outcome between diabetic and non-diabetic patients according to FFR values this issue remains controversial. Therefore, COMBINE OCT FFR[3] investigated the impact of OCT-detected thin-cap fibroatheroma (TCFA) on clinical outcomes of patients with diabetes patients with FFR negative lesions. The study[3] revealed that among 550 enrolled diabetic patients with ≥ 1 FFR-negative lesions, TCFA-positive patients represented 25% of this population and were associated with a five-fold higher rate of MACE despite the absence of ischemia as demonstrated by negative FFR > 0.80. This discrepancy between the impact of vulnerable plaque and ischemia on future adverse events may explain worse outcome in diabetic patients with negative FFR. On the other hand, diabetic patients with negative FFR and without TCFA remained to have very low event rate of 3.1% over mean follow-up of 18 months[3]. Still the question remains on the outcome of vulnerable plaques due to the low hard event rates and limited positive predictive value[11]. Regarding therapeutic options, serial intravascular imaging has demonstrated that lipid lowering therapy led to regression of coronary plaque volume and increase in fibroatheroma cup thickness proportional to decrease in cholesterol levels[12].

Our patient presented with anatomically significant but functionally non-significant coronary lesion without signs of plaque vulnerability as shown by thick-cap fibroatheroma, but with significant disturbance of coronary microcirculation as shown by low CFR and very high IMR. The microcirculatory dysfunction may be present in diabetic patients and the cause of positive functional testing and chest pain[13]. In fact, the traditional risk factors may all contribute to coronary microvascular dysfunction and structural remodeling of the microcirculation. In the WISE study[14], chest pain, diabetes, smoking, CAD severity were all independent predictors of hard cardiovascular events. The evaluation of microcirculatory dysfunction has gained a lot of interest in the last years and specific algorithms have been developed to interrogate this clinical condition[15]. Optimal therapy for microcirculatory dysfunction has not been established yet but calcium channel blockers, nebivolol, ranolazine, trimetazidine, ACE inhibitors have been associated with beneficial effects in microvascular angina[15].

**CONCLUSION**

The invasive functional evaluation combined with intravascular imaging have complementary diagnostic and prognostic role and therefore a contemporary evaluation of coronary stenosis, particularly in diabetic patients whenever possible and available should include both functional and intravascular imaging data for the best patient management and decision making.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank research medical nurses Ruwaide Najeeb and chief Cath Lab medical nurse Fadwa el Rawi Ibrahim for their generous support in preparing the manuscript.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Neumann FJ**, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, Alfonso F, Banning AP, Benedetto U, Byrne RA, Collet JP, Falk V, Head SJ, Jüni P, Kastrati A, Koller A, Kristensen SD, Niebauer J, Richter DJ, Seferovic PM, Sibbing D, Stefanini GG, Windecker S, Yadav R, Zembala MO; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J* 2019; **40**: 87-165 [PMID: 30165437 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy394]

2 **Kennedy MW**, Kaplan E, Hermanides RS, Fabris E, Hemradj V, Koopmans PC, Dambrink JH, Marcel Gosselink AT, Van't Hof AW, Ottervanger JP, Roolvink V, Remkes WS, van der Sluis A, Suryapranata H, Kedhi E. Clinical outcomes of deferred revascularisation using fractional flow reserve in patients with and without diabetes mellitus. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2016; **15**: 100 [PMID: 27431395 DOI: 10.1186/s12933-016-0417-2]

3 **Kedhi E**, Berta B, Roleder T, Hermanides RS, Fabris E, IJsselmuiden AJJ, Kauer F, Alfonso F, von Birgelen C, Escaned J, Camaro C, Kennedy MW, Pereira B, Magro M, Nef H, Reith S, Al Nooryani A, Rivero F, Malinowski K, De Luca G, Garcia Garcia H, Granada JF, Wojakowski W. Thin-cap fibroatheroma predicts clinical events in diabetic patients with normal fractional flow reserve: the COMBINE OCT-FFR trial. *Eur Heart J* 2021; **42**: 4671-4679 [PMID: 34345911 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab433]

4 **Clerc OF**, Fuchs TA, Stehli J, Benz DC, Gräni C, Messerli M, Giannopoulos AA, Buechel RR, Lüscher TF, Pazhenkottil AP, Kaufmann PA, Gaemperli O. Non-invasive screening for coronary artery disease in asymptomatic diabetic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018; **19**: 838-846 [PMID: 29452348 DOI: 10.1093/ehjci/jey014]

5 **Marx N**, Federici M, Schütt K, Müller-Wieland D, Ajjan RA, Antunes MJ, Christodorescu RM, Crawford C, Di Angelantonio E, Eliasson B, Espinola-Klein C, Fauchier L, Halle M, Herrington WG, Kautzky-Willer A, Lambrinou E, Lesiak M, Lettino M, McGuire DK, Mullens W, Rocca B, Sattar N; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2023 ESC Guidelines for the management of cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes. *Eur Heart J* 2023; **44**: 4043-4140 [PMID: 37622663 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad192]

6 **Cortigiani L**, Bigi R, Sicari R, Rigo F, Bovenzi F, Picano E. Comparison of prognostic value of pharmacologic stress echocardiography in chest pain patients with versus without diabetes mellitus and positive exercise electrocardiography. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; **100**: 1744-1749 [PMID: 18082519 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.07.026]

7 **Cortigiani L**, Rigo F, Gherardi S, Sicari R, Galderisi M, Bovenzi F, Picano E. Additional prognostic value of coronary flow reserve in diabetic and nondiabetic patients with negative dipyridamole stress echocardiography by wall motion criteria. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; **50**: 1354-1361 [PMID: 17903635 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.06.027]

8 **Murthy VL**, Naya M, Foster CR, Gaber M, Hainer J, Klein J, Dorbala S, Blankstein R, Di Carli MF. Association between coronary vascular dysfunction and cardiac mortality in patients with and without diabetes mellitus. *Circulation* 2012; **126**: 1858-1868 [PMID: 22919001 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.120402]

9 **Tonino PA**, De Bruyne B, Pijls NH, Siebert U, Ikeno F, van' t Veer M, Klauss V, Manoharan G, Engstrøm T, Oldroyd KG, Ver Lee PN, MacCarthy PA, Fearon WF; FAME Study Investigators. Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guiding percutaneous coronary intervention. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **360**: 213-224 [PMID: 19144937 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0807611]

10 **Domínguez-Franco AJ**, Jiménez-Navarro MF, Muñoz-García AJ, Alonso-Briales JH, Hernández-García JM, de Teresa Galván E. [Long-term prognosis in diabetic patients in whom revascularization is deferred following fractional flow reserve assessment]. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2008; **61**: 352-359 [PMID: 18405515]

11 **Al-Lamee R**, Mintz GS. What are the PROSPECTs and clinical implications of vulnerable plaque? *Eur Heart J* 2021; **42**: 4680-4682 [PMID: 34370846 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab521]

12 **Nissen SE**, Nicholls SJ, Sipahi I, Libby P, Raichlen JS, Ballantyne CM, Davignon J, Erbel R, Fruchart JC, Tardif JC, Schoenhagen P, Crowe T, Cain V, Wolski K, Goormastic M, Tuzcu EM; ASTEROID Investigators. Effect of very high-intensity statin therapy on regression of coronary atherosclerosis: the ASTEROID trial. *JAMA* 2006; **295**: 1556-1565 [PMID: 16533939 DOI: 10.1001/jama.295.13.jpc60002]

13 **Mygind ND**, Michelsen MM, Pena A, Frestad D, Dose N, Aziz A, Faber R, Høst N, Gustafsson I, Hansen PR, Hansen HS, Bairey Merz CN, Kastrup J, Prescott E. Coronary Microvascular Function and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Women With Angina Pectoris and No Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease: The iPOWER Study. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2016; **5**: e003064 [PMID: 27068634 DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.115.003064]

14 **Radico F**, Zimarino M, Fulgenzi F, Ricci F, Di Nicola M, Jespersen L, Chang SM, Humphries KH, Marzilli M, De Caterina R. Determinants of long-term clinical outcomes in patients with angina but without obstructive coronary artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2018; **39**: 2135-2146 [PMID: 29688324 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy185]

15 **Kunadian V**, Chieffo A, Camici PG, Berry C, Escaned J, Maas AHEM, Prescott E, Karam N, Appelman Y, Fraccaro C, Buchanan GL, Manzo-Silberman S, Al-Lamee R, Regar E, Lansky A, Abbott JD, Badimon L, Duncker DJ, Mehran R, Capodanno D, Baumbach A. An EAPCI Expert Consensus Document on Ischaemia with Non-Obstructive Coronary Arteries in Collaboration with European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Coronary Pathophysiology & Microcirculation Endorsed by Coronary Vasomotor Disorders International Study Group. *EuroIntervention* 2021; **16**: 1049-1069 [PMID: 32624456 DOI: 10.4244/EIJY20M07\_01]

**Footnotes**

**Informed consent statement:** Study participant provided informed written consent prior to diagnostic intervention.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All authors declare that they don’t have conflict of interest.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review started:** December 22, 2023

**First decision:** January 10, 2024

**Article in press:**

**Specialty type:** Cardiac & cardiovascular systems

**Country/Territory of origin:** United Arab Emirates

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B


Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0


Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Ding H, China **S-Editor:** Zheng XM **L-Editor:** A **P-Editor:**

**Figure Legends**



**Figure 1 Angiographic images of left coronary artery before (A) and after (B) intervention**. White arrow shows stenosis in the ostial part of circumflex artery stenosis, and two black arrows depicts 2 tandem stenoses in the obtuse marginal branch. Coronary angiography after stenting from left main to circumflex coronary artery.

**Figure 2 Invasive functional parameters of the obtuse marginal stenoses.** A: Coronary stenoses in obtuse marginal branch are shown in black arrows; B: Corresponding fractional flow reserve, coronary flow reserve, and index of microvascular resistance values.



**Figure 3 Co-registration images of angiography (left) and optical coherence tomography cross-section imaging (right).**