

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 91238

Title: Percutaneous transhepatic stenting for acute superior mesenteric vein stenosis after pancreaticoduodenectomy with portal vein reconstruction: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06368927

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: Doctor, FACC, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-25 15:20

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-29 17:58

Review time: 4 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors deserve credit in successful management of the index case. They have highlighted their case well, but lacks supportive images and videos of the Stenting. I have following concerns:- 1) Don't use the word "intestinal swelling" looks rather unscientific and naive. instead you may use intestinal edema or bowel wall edema 2) This line in the case presentation section is very confusing 'The patient was later diagnosed with AT-II deficiency and was transfused with plasma to rescue sensitivity to heparin'. I suggest rewriting it and clarifying it for the readers. 3) What was the rationale of aptt of 35-40 sec? has it been used before or validated ? 4) The supporting image of the stent and portal venogram is of poor quality. replace it with good quality images and cines. 5) The discussion is written in variable tense throughout. maintain one tense throughout. Preferably the past tense. Overall the quality of English language used is poor and needs to be edited by an expert. 6) Discuss on the patency rates of these stents. The recommended anti-platelet/ anti-coagulant therapy in the long term.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 91238

Title: Percutaneous transhepatic stenting for acute superior mesenteric vein stenosis after pancreaticoduodenectomy with portal vein reconstruction: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06368927

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: Doctor, FACC, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-25

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-02-05 14:48

Reviewer performed review: 2024-02-05 14:54

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The revised paper looks better than before. Authors have satisfactorily responded to most of the concerns raised during the prior review. The manuscript will still need some language editing before it's ready for publication.