

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Cardiology*

**Manuscript NO:** 91328

**Title:** Aspirin interruption before neurosurgical interventions: a controversial problem

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02446694

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACC, FACP, FAHA, FESC, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Director

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-12-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2024-01-03 05:15

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-01-06 12:58

**Review time:** 3 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper summarizes the pros and cons of interrupting aspirin administration before surgery in the neurological area, which is generally considered high-risk. Several issues seem to be raised. #1 The paper reports the risks by type of surgery and disease. This may be an important factor because the bleeding time and risk of bleeding differ depending on the type of surgery and the disease. However, the paper seems to lack information on anemia, renal function, and conditions for which the patient is taking aspirin. I wonder if most guidelines would consider an assessment of individual bleeding and thrombotic risk after an assessment of the risk of the surgery itself and then postpone the surgery, etc. The authors should describe more about the evaluation of the individual patient. #2 The results reported here seem difficult to understand. The authors should summarize the individual reports in a table. #3 Abbreviations such as ESC, RCT, ADP, ESAIC, etc., are mentioned from the beginning in the abstract and text; the authors should use them after the full spelling. #4 It seems that English is difficult to understand; a native English checker should review the manuscript.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Cardiology*

**Manuscript NO:** 91328

**Title:** Aspirin interruption before neurosurgical interventions: a controversial problem

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02446694

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACC, FACP, FAHA, FESC, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Director

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-12-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2024-02-21 19:33

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-02-21 19:38

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [office@baishideng.com](mailto:office@baishideng.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Regarding the revised manuscript, I have no further comments.