

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 91725

Title: Comparative analysis of two digestive tract reconstruction methods in total laparoscopic radical total gastrectomy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07916863

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-22 12:43

Reviewer performed review: 2024-02-02 08:35

Review time: 10 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper compared and evaluated double-tract reconstruction (DTR) and Roux-en-Y reconstruction (RY) in total laparoscopic radical total gastrectomy (TLTG), and proved that the clinical effect of DTR in TLTG is better than that of RY. Overall, the research topic is novel, content presentation is complete, and has some practical significance and clinical applications. However, some issues should be further addressed in current version. Please see my comments as follows: 1. Although the introduction of this paper is reasonable, DTR, RY and the innovations of this paper should be provided more details in the Introduction section. 2. In the Result, in particular, Section 5, 6, the authors should provide more detailed descriptions for postoperative gastrointestinal imaging and gastroscopy after surgery. 3. Some details of tables should be re-examined, such as notes, explanatory. 4. The writing format of the paper should be modified according to the requirements of the journal. Please check the manuscript for spelling, grammar and writing standards. 5. Please refer to other paper in this journal to modify the format of the References.