

Dear Editor and Reviewer,

We are profoundly grateful for the meticulous review and valuable comments provided by the editorial team and Reviewer #1 on our manuscript titled "Comparison and Evaluation of Double-Tract Reconstruction and Roux-en-Y Reconstruction in Total Laparoscopic Radical Gastrectomy". Following your recommendations, we have thoroughly revised our manuscript and address each comment in detail below.

Response to Reviewer #1's Comments:

**Introduction Details:** We have enriched the introduction with additional content on the advantages of Double-Tract Reconstruction (DTR) and the benefits of using a linear cutting stapler in laparoscopic DTR. This amendment aims to provide a more comprehensive overview of our study's innovations and relevance.

**Detailed Results Description:** In Sections 5 and 6, we have incorporated detailed descriptions of the postoperative gastroscopy and upper gastrointestinal angiography outcomes, complete with explanations for each image presented. This enhancement is intended to offer readers a clearer understanding of our findings.

**Tables Details Re-examination:** We have revised the notes and descriptions associated with tables and figures to ensure accuracy and clarity.

**Manuscript Format Adjustment:** In accordance with the journal's requirements, we have modified the manuscript format, enriched the abstract with background information, and corrected certain abbreviation errors.

**Reference Format Amendment:** We have added DOI numbers to all references, facilitating easier access to the source materials for our readers.

Response to the Editorial Team's Specific Comments:

**Figures Submission:** As requested, we have uploaded the figures in PowerPoint format with editable text, including labels and arrows. We have ensured each figure is correctly attributed or authorized for use, with appropriately formatted legends that provide a general title and explanation for each part.

**Manuscript Tables Symbol Use:** We have replaced asterisks in the tables with superscript letters as instructed, ensuring that statistical significance is appropriately denoted using superscript numbers and letters (e.g.,  $aP < 0.05$ ,  $bP < 0.01$ ).

**Author Contributions Section:** We have added an author contributions section to our manuscript, clearly delineating the roles and contributions of each author in accordance with the suggested format.

Background in Abstract: We have incorporated background information into the abstract to provide readers with a concise overview of the research context and significance.

Funding Approval Documentation: We have uploaded the approved grant application forms and any relevant approval documents from the funding agency, as required.

DOI Numbers in References: We have ensured that all references include DOI citation numbers, complying with the request for thorough and accurate citation practices.

We believe these revisions have significantly improved our manuscript, making it a stronger candidate for publication in your esteemed journal. We express our sincerest thanks for the editorial team's and reviewer's recognition of our work and their constructive suggestions. We eagerly await your response and are fully prepared to make further modifications to meet the journal's standards.

Sincerely,

Dong Wang