

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2024 April 16; 12(11): 1857-1999



EDITORIAL

- 1857 Primary pulmonary meningioma and minute pulmonary meningotheial-like nodules: Rare pulmonary nodular lesions requiring more awareness in clinical practice
Liu LD, Zhang KX, Zhang HN, Zheng YW, Xu HT
- 1863 Advances in clinical applications of bioceramics in the new regenerative medicine era
Elshazly N, Nasr FE, Hamdy A, Saied S, Elshazly M
- 1870 Climate change and human health: Last call to arms for us
Corrente A, Pace MC, Fiore M
- 1875 Protocol for lower back pain management: Insights from the French healthcare system
Boyer LE, Boudier-Rev  ret M, Chang MC
- 1881 Removal of intrahepatic bile duct stone could reduce the risk of cholangiocarcinoma
Jagirdhar GSK, Bains Y, Surani S

REVIEW

- 1885 Unexpected focal fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in main organs; pass through or pass by?
Lee H, Hwang KH

MINIREVIEWS

- 1900 Research progress on venous thrombosis development in patients with malignant tumors
Wang TF, Chen Q, Deng J, Li SL, Xu Y, Ma SX
- 1909 Splenic hamartomas in children
Milickovic M, Rasic P, Cvejic S, Bozic D, Savic D, Mijovic T, Cvetinovic S, Djuricic SM

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

- 1918 Chaiqin Chengqi Decoction as an adjuvant treatment for mild/moderately severe hypertriglyceridemic acute pancreatitis: A retrospective study
Zhang HF, Su ZX, Feng YH, Li SJ, Xie BY

Observational Study

- 1929 COVID-19 pandemic amplified mortality rates among adolescents with bipolar disorder through family-related factors
Ye ZF, Hong YH, Yang JL, Tan MQ, Xie JM, Xu ZC

CASE REPORT

- 1936** Tricuspid mass-curious case of Li-Fraumeni syndrome: A case report
Huffaker T, Pak S, Asif A, Otchere P
- 1940** Endovascular treatment of direct carotid cavernous fistula resulting from rupture of intracavernous carotid aneurysm: A case report
Ouyang G, Zheng KL, Luo K, Qiao M, Zhu Y, Pan DR
- 1947** Concomitant treatment of ureteral calculi and ipsilateral pelvic sciatic nerve schwannoma with transperitoneal laparoscopic approach: A case report
Xiong Y, Li J, Yang HJ
- 1954** Safety and efficacy of transcatheter arterial embolization in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney patients with gross hematuria: Six case reports
Sui WF, Duan YX, Li JY, Shao WB, Fu JH
- 1960** Neurosyphilis complicated by anti- γ -aminobutyric acid-B receptor encephalitis: A case report
Fang YX, Zhou XM, Zheng D, Liu GH, Gao PB, Huang XZ, Chen ZC, Zhang H, Chen L, Hu YF
- 1967** Long-term complete response to anti-programmed-death-1 monotherapy in a patient with relapsed and refractory ovarian adenocarcinoma: A case report
Zhou GD, Li Q
- 1974** Nd:YAG water mist laser treatment for giant gestational gingival tumor: A case report
Chen HY, Xu JJ, Chang XL, Wu P
- 1980** Hematochezia due to rectal invasion by an internal iliac artery aneurysm: A case report
Li F, Zhao B, Liu YQ, Chen GQ, Qu RF, Xu C, Long Z, Wu JS, Xiong M, Liu WH, Zhu L, Feng XL, Zhang L
- 1990** Colonoscopy-assisted removal of an impaction foreign body at the rectosigmoid junction: A case report
Zhou PF, Lu JG, Zhang JD, Wang JW

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 1996** Intestinal flora: New perspective of type 2 diabetes
Liu Y, Chang J, Bai LD

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Gennaro Mazzaella, MD, Surgeon, Department of Surgery "Pietro Valdoni", Sapienza University of Rome, Rome 00161, Italy. gennaromazzaella226@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (WJCC, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.1; IF without journal self cites: 1.1; 5-year IF: 1.3; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.26; Ranking: 133 among 167 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Cover Editor: Jin-Lai Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Salim Surani, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

April 16, 2024

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Removal of intrahepatic bile duct stone could reduce the risk of cholangiocarcinoma

Gowthami Sai Kogilathota Jagirdhar, Yatinder Bains, Salim Surani

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): B
Grade C (Good): C
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Hamaya Y, Japan; Liu HB, China

Received: February 14, 2024

Peer-review started: February 14, 2024

First decision: March 2, 2024

Revised: March 6, 2024

Accepted: March 22, 2024

Article in press: March 22, 2024

Published online: April 16, 2024



Gowthami Sai Kogilathota Jagirdhar, Department of Medicine, Saint Francis Health Science Center, Newark, NJ 07107, United States

Yatinder Bains, Department of Gastroenterology, Saint Michaels Medical Center, Newark, NJ 07102, United States

Salim Surani, Department of Medicine and Pharmacology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, United States

Corresponding author: Salim Surani, FACP, FCCP, MD, MHSc, Adjunct Professor, Department of Medicine and Pharmacology, Texas A&M University, 40 Bizzell Street, College Station, TX 77843, United States. srsurani@hotmail.com

Abstract

Hepatolithiasis (HL) poses a significant risk for cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) development, with reported incidences ranging from 5%-13%. Risk factors include older age, smoking, hepatitis B infection, and prolonged HL duration. Chronic inflammation and mechanical stress on the biliary epithelium contribute to CCA pathogenesis. Hepatectomy reduces CCA risk by removing stones and atrophic liver segments. However, residual stones and incomplete removal increase CCA risk. Kim *et al* identified carbohydrate antigen 19-9, carcinoembryonic antigen, and stone laterality as CCA risk factors, reaffirming the importance of complete stone removal. Nonetheless, challenges remain in preventing CCA recurrence post-surgery. Longer-term studies are needed to elucidate CCA risk factors further.

Key Words: Hepatolithiasis; Cholangiocarcinoma; Biliary stone; Common bile duct stone; Cholangitis

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Hepatolithiasis (HL) poses a significant risk for cholangiocarcinoma (CCA), with factors like stone location, recurrence, and incomplete removal influencing risk. While hepatectomy reduces CCA risk, residual stones and incomplete removal pose challenges. Kim *et al*'s study identifies carbohydrate antigen 19-9, carcinoembryonic antigen, and stone laterality as CCA risk factors, supporting prior findings. Nonetheless, discrepancies in bile duct stricture's impact on CCA risk highlight the need for further research. Understanding these factors aids in refining CCA risk assessment and optimizing management strategies for HL-associated CCA.

Citation: Jagirdhar GSK, Bains Y, Surani S. Removal of intrahepatic bile duct stone could reduce the risk of cholangiocarcinoma.

World J Clin Cases 2024; 12(11): 1881-1884

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v12/i11/1881.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v12.i11.1881>

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of hepatolithiasis (HL) associated cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) appeared to range from 5%-13% in the literature. Risk factors for development of CCA include older age > 40 years, history of smoking, family history cancer, more extended history of HL > 10 years, history of weight loss, history of hepatitis B infection, high levels of serum alkaline phosphatase, low serum carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level > 4.2 ng/mL, low serum albumin, high serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) > 22 U/mL, duct stricture, focal atrophy, atrophy of liver parenchyma, and bilateral HL[1,2]. History of gastrectomy and choledochenterostomy were also the risk factors for CCA development[3]. HL associated CCA (HL-CCA) has been grouped into concomitant-CCA (C-CCA) or subsequent-CCA (S-CCA) based on its diagnosis with HL. C-CCA ranges from 5%-12%. S-CCA has been reported up to 10% of the population[4-6]. Removal of stones decreases the risk of CCA. We discuss the study's results by Kim *et al*[7] and expand on the topic.

Mechanism of HL associated with CCA

HL-CCA occurs in areas of stone location. HL may include persistent mechanical stress and chronic inflammation of the biliary epithelium. The process of CCA appears to be a complex process involving pro-inflammatory cytokines, growth factors, cancer associated fibroblasts and biliary tract and liver microbiome changes[8-10]. This creates a tumor microenvironment with increased expression of cell surface receptors and disruption of intracellular signaling pathways, causing cell proliferation and aberrant development. Banales *et al*[8] describes common mutated genes including FGFR2 fusions, BAP1, BRAF, ARID1A, KRAS, TP53, SMAD4, PBRM1 and IDH1 and IDH2. Molecular alterations including p16 inactivation, increased expression of cyclooxygenase-2, prostaglandin E2, proto-oncogene c-met and decreased caudal-related homeobox gene 2 have been recognized in precursor lesions of CCA[10]. Wang *et al*[11] found that peripheral inflammation parameters that indicate systemic inflammation and immune response like neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, platelet to lymphocyte ratio, and systemic immune inflammation were higher in the HL group compared to the non-HL group and without biliary stricture group. Systemic immune response was hyper-activated in HL-CCA patients. Helper and cytotoxic T cells were involved in the inflammatory process. This resulted in the bile ducts in this area becoming fibrosed, thickened, and stenosed, causing recurrent attacks of acute cholangitis. The stone-involved segments became damaged and atrophic over time. The recurrent attacks of acute cholangitis can cause CCA in stone-involved segments. Therefore, hepatectomy for the involved segments decreases the risk of CCA. Hepatectomy removes the stones, atrophied liver segments, and stricture tissue. S-CCA development is an important prognostic factor in predicting survival in these patients. Often, patients with S-CCA have advanced disease and poor prognosis at presentation.

Can removal of intrahepatic bile duct stone reduce the risk of CCA?

Studies describe continued risk of stone formation even after initial stone removal[5]. Residual stones continue to pose an increased risk for CCA by up to 16%[12]. Inflammation of the liver tissue due to Chronic proliferative cholangitis from residual stones may lead to bile duct epithelium dysplasia and cancer[12]. The 10-year recurrence rate was doubled in the bilateral group compared to the unilateral group[12-14]. These patients continued to be at increased risk of CCA, with risk ranging up to 6.25%[12,13]. Patients with recurrent HL post hepatectomy still have a high risk of C-CCA and S-CCA. Studies often showed that S-CCA developed post-resection in the lobes closest to the resected hepatic segments. This may be due to biliary intraepithelial neoplasia from long-term inflammation in the adjacent bile ducts. Bilateral HL can also be associated with a limited ability to clear stones in diffuse distribution during hepatectomy with intraoperative lithotomy and lithotripsy. To preserve part of the liver, conservative resection can increase the risk of S-CCA.

Some studies also describe the extent of liver resection (ELR) compared to stone-affected segments. When the ELR < stone affected segments (SASs), patients are at increased risk of CCA development (20%-21.5%). When the ELR = stone - affected segments, the risk was comparably lower in unilateral and bilateral groups (3%-4.3%)[12,15]. Often, patients have incomplete stone removal on initial hepatectomy and require repeated procedures such as segmentectomy, Cholangioscopic lithotomy, or lithotripsy for stone removal[15]. There is a high chance of residual and missing stones. These can further contribute to continued atrophy, thickening, and hepatocyte fibrosis of the stone-bearing ducts, with the adjacent unaffected segments having compensatory hypertrophy. This process can also predispose to CCA[13]. Further isolated peripheral stones and varied involvement of intrahepatic duct distribution can make cholangioscopic procedures

challenging and impact complete stone removal, affecting the ELR = SAS ratio and increasing residual stones. In the absence of symptomatic HL, residual stones, biliary stricture, and hepatic atrophy after initial stone removal, careful close follow-up can be done after discussing risks and benefits with patients[16]. Ultrasound and Computed tomography imaging are primary modalities for diagnosis of HL diagnosis and for monitoring recurrence during the follow-up period. They can detect biliary dilations, strictures, and stones. Magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic retrograde cholangiopancreatography are often additional tests for abnormalities in liver enzymes or tumor markers or to define ultrasound or computed tomography abnormal findings better[6]. Monitoring liver enzymes, serological tumor markers such as CA19-9 and CEA for 6-month follow-up after stone removal and with less frequent intervals after. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography is used to treat biliary dilation and obtain tissue for diagnosis. Endoscopic ultrasound with biopsy may be used to assess locoregional extension of CCA, evaluate biliary obstruction, and obtain tissue for diagnosis[17].

In the most recent issue of the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Kim *et al*[7] attempted to replicate the prior research on stone removal and the risk of CCA in a retrospective study and identify risk factors for its development.

Kim *et al*[7] found CA19-9, CEA, and bilateral stones to be risk factors for CCA, similar to prior studies. Stone removal was associated with a lower incidence of CCA. They also found complete removal without recurrence to decrease the risk of CCA, similar to prior studies. The authors found atrophy of the liver parenchyma to be a significant risk factor similar to prior studies. Some studies, like Liu *et al*[2] showed left-sided stones associated with a higher risk of CCA, and others, like Suzuki *et al*[1] showed predominant right-sided stones associated with high risk[1,2]. It is postulated that since the right intrahepatic duct is shorter and wider it is more prone to stasis, stone formation and inflammation thereby increasing risk of CCA. In the study by Kim *et al*[7] results showed left-sided stones to be at high risk. The left sided hepatic duct is anatomically at an acute angle with the common bile duct thereby more prone to stasis. The right segmental bile ducts also branch from the left hepatic thereby increasing left sided stone and CCA risk. Based on the study by Kim *et al*[7] and prior studies there may be no strong correlation between stone location and intrahepatic CCA. The varied study results may also be due to reasons specific to the study population[7].

The authors found that bile duct stricture did not increase the risk of CCA. However, these results differ from prior literature on larger patient populations. Strictured bile ducts are atrophied, fibrosed, and postulated to increase the risk of CCA. Non-surgical methods of stone removal were associated with remnant stones, recurrent stones, and increased cholangitis episodes. However, this did not reflect the decreased risk of CCA. They also found that complete removal with recurrence and incomplete removal with remnant showed a decreased risk for CCA. However, the results were not significant. Considering these groups were 6.4% and 5.6% of the study population, the small size may have yielded different results than prior literature. Further, the follow-up duration of 7 years may not have been long enough to detect further cases of CCA. Compared to patients who underwent stone removal, the risk of CCA was higher by 3 times in the patients who did not undergo removal in the study by Kim *et al*[7] (5% *vs* 15.3%). Even after complete stone removal, the risk of CCA in the study was 4.6%, similar to prior studies that showed high risk from adjacent inflamed biliary ducts. The study adds to prior literature on risk factors for CCA. A longer follow-up period of > 10 years and a larger group of patients with CCA could have yielded more information on risk factors and supported the evidence from prior studies.

CONCLUSION

HL is a risk factor for CCA. Post hepatectomy and procedures for stone removal, patients continued to be at increased risk if there were recurrent stones or incomplete stone removal. Complete removal of stones without recurrence decreases the risk of CCA but does not eliminate the risk.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Jagirdhar GSK, Bains Y and Surani S designed the research, wrote the paper, edited and revised the paper; Jagirdhar GSK performed the research and analyzed the data.

Conflict-of-interest statement: None of the authors have any conflict of interest to disclose.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: United States

ORCID number: Gowthami Sai Kogilathota Jagirdhar 0000-0003-1855-0863; Yatinder Bains 0000-0002-6331-1194; Salim Surani 0000-0001-7105-4266.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: American College of Physician.

S-Editor: Zheng XM

L-Editor: A

REFERENCES

- 1 Suzuki Y, Mori T, Abe N, Sugiyama M, Atomi Y. Predictive factors for cholangiocarcinoma associated with hepatolithiasis determined on the basis of Japanese Multicenter study. *Hepatol Res* 2012; **42**: 166-170 [PMID: 22151748 DOI: 10.1111/j.1872-034X.2011.00908.x]
- 2 Liu ZY, Zhou YM, Shi LH, Yin ZF. Risk factors of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in patients with hepatolithiasis: a case-control study. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2011; **10**: 626-631 [PMID: 22146627 DOI: 10.1016/s1499-3872(11)60106-9]
- 3 Jo JH, Chung MJ, Park JY, Bang S, Park SW, Kim KS, Lee WJ, Song SY, Chung JB. High serum CA19-9 levels are associated with an increased risk of cholangiocarcinoma in patients with intrahepatic duct stones: a case-control study. *Surg Endosc* 2013; **27**: 4210-4216 [PMID: 23760942 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-3025-1]
- 4 Annual Meeting of the Canadian Association for the Study of the Liver (CASL), the Canadian Network on Hepatitis C (CANHEPC) and the Canadian Association of Hepatology Nurses (CAHN) 2021 Abstracts. *Can Liver J* 2021; **4**: 113-248 [PMID: 35991765 DOI: 10.3138/canlivj.4.2.abst]
- 5 Kim HJ, Kang TU, Swan H, Kang MJ, Kim N, Ahn HS, Park SM. Incidence and Prognosis of Subsequent Cholangiocarcinoma in Patients with Hepatic Resection for Bile Duct Stones. *Dig Dis Sci* 2018; **63**: 3465-3473 [PMID: 30171402 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-018-5262-6]
- 6 Kim HJ, Kim JS, Joo MK, Lee BJ, Kim JH, Yeon JE, Park JJ, Byun KS, Bak YT. Hepatolithiasis and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: A review. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 13418-13431 [PMID: 26730152 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i48.13418]
- 7 Kim TI, Han SY, Lee J, Kim DU. Removal of intrahepatic bile duct stone could reduce the risk of cholangiocarcinoma: A single-center retrospective study in South Korea. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; **12**: 913-921 [PMID: 38414601 DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v12.i5.913]
- 8 Banales JM, Marin JG, Lamarca A, Rodrigues PM, Khan SA, Roberts LR, Cardinale V, Carpino G, Andersen JB, Braconi C, Calvisi DF, Perugorria MJ, Fabris L, Boulter L, Macias RIR, Gaudio E, Alvaro D, Gradilone SA, Strazzabosco M, Marziani M, Coulouarn C, Fouassier L, Raggi C, Invernizzi P, Mertens JC, Moncsek A, Rizvi S, Heimbach J, Koerkamp BG, Bruix J, Forner A, Bridgewater J, Valle JW, Gores GJ. Cholangiocarcinoma 2020: the next horizon in mechanisms and management. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020; **17**: 557-588 [PMID: 32606456 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-020-0310-z]
- 9 Braconi C, Patel T. Cholangiocarcinoma: new insights into disease pathogenesis and biology. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2010; **24**: 871-884, vii [PMID: 20937455 DOI: 10.1016/j.idc.2010.07.006]
- 10 Sirica AE, Strazzabosco M, Cadamuro M. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: Morpho-molecular pathology, tumor reactive microenvironment, and malignant progression. *Adv Cancer Res* 2021; **149**: 321-387 [PMID: 33579427 DOI: 10.1016/bs.acr.2020.10.005]
- 11 Wang Y, Huang A, Guo D, Wang J, Chen F, Sun H, Qiu S, Zhang S, Cao Y, Yang X, Zhou J. Evaluating prognostic value of biliary stone in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma by propensity score matching analysis. *J Cancer* 2023; **14**: 1257-1271 [PMID: 37215452 DOI: 10.7150/jca.74275]
- 12 Meng ZW, Han SH, Zhu JH, Zhou LY, Chen YL. Risk Factors for Cholangiocarcinoma After Initial Hepatectomy for Intrahepatic Stones. *World J Surg* 2017; **41**: 835-843 [PMID: 27766397 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-016-3752-2]
- 13 Li SQ, Liang LJ, Peng BG, Hua YP, Lv MD, Fu SJ, Chen D. Outcomes of liver resection for intrahepatic stones: a comparative study of unilateral versus bilateral disease. *Ann Surg* 2012; **255**: 946-953 [PMID: 22504194 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e31824dedc2]
- 14 Lin CC, Lin PY, Chen YL. Comparison of concomitant and subsequent cholangiocarcinomas associated with hepatolithiasis: Clinical implications. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013; **19**: 375-380 [PMID: 23372360 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i3.375]
- 15 Zhu QD, Zhou MT, Zhou QQ, Shi HQ, Zhang QY, Yu ZP. Diagnosis and surgical treatment of intrahepatic hepatolithiasis combined with cholangiocarcinoma. *World J Surg* 2014; **38**: 2097-2104 [PMID: 24519588 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-014-2476-4]
- 16 Fujita N, Yasuda I, Endo I, Isayama H, Iwashita T, Ueki T, Uemura K, Umezawa A, Katanuma A, Katayose Y, Suzuki Y, Shoda J, Tsuyuguchi T, Wakai T, Inui K, Unno M, Takeyama Y, Itoi T, Koike K, Mochida S. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for cholelithiasis 2021. *J Gastroenterol* 2023; **58**: 801-833 [PMID: 37452855 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-023-02014-6]
- 17 Vogel A, Bridgewater J, Edeline J, Kelley RK, Klumpen HJ, Malka D, Primrose JN, Rimassa L, Stenzinger A, Valle JW, Ducreux M; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Biliary tract cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2023; **34**: 127-140 [PMID: 36372281 DOI: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.10.506]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

