

Comments to reviewers

Thank you for your useful comments..

I have modified the paper as suggested.

I am hereby listing each of the changes I have made in regards to your advice.

1. Comment no. 1:- I have mentioned “Retrospective study” as the scope.
2. Comment no. 2:- Short running title has been added.
3. Comment no. 3, 4 and 5:- Post codes have been added.
4. Comment no. 6:- Authors contribution has been specified.
5. Comment no. 7:- The purpose of the study has been made concise.
6. Comment no. 8:- “Patients and method” has been elaborated as per suggested number of words .
7. Comment no. 9:- Core tip has been outlined.
8. Comment no. 10:- Reference number in the manuscript has been rearranged as suggested.
9. Comments no. 11:- comments to the paper has been added.
10. Comment no. 12:-PMID and DOI are now mentioned for each of the references.
11. Comment no. 13:- format of 3 line table made by Power Point has been attached.

Comments to reviewers

Thank you for your useful comments..

I have modified the paper as suggested.

I am hereby listing each of the changes I have made in regards to your advice.

1. Comment no. 00211908(reviewer code)- I have changed by red color.
2. Comment no. 02874819(reviewer code)- I agree that a good EF will produce the good result, but there was no relationship between the EF and complication after surgery.

We did not have renal failure after surgery, so we did not mention about RIFLE or AKIN score.