

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 57417

Title: Impact of B-mode-ultrasound-guided transhepatic and transperitoneal cholecystostomy tube placement on laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Reviewer's code: 03260101

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBChB

Professional title: Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-05 06:28

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-10 13:22

Review time: 5 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

comments passed on to the editorial office

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 57417

Title: Impact of B-mode-ultrasound-guided transhepatic and transperitoneal cholecystostomy tube placement on laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Reviewer's code: 03285323

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-07 09:11

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-12 12:25

Review time: 5 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have been reported the impact of B-mode-ultrasound-guided transhepatic (PHGD) and transperitoneal cholecystostomy (PPGD) tube placement on laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC). They have concluded that the B-mode-ultrasound-guided PHGD is superior to PPGD followed by LC for treatment of acute calculous cholecystitis, with shorter operating time, minimal amount of intraoperative bleeding and short length of hospital stay. In general this is a well-planned and designed study. However, there are some conflicts in its written which is marked on the text.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 57417

Title: Impact of B-mode-ultrasound-guided transhepatic and transperitoneal cholecystostomy tube placement on laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Reviewer's code: 00006518

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MHSc, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Assistant Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-06 00:27

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-13 09:23

Review time: 7 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors investigated the clinical outcomes of B-mode-ultrasound-guided percutaneous transhepatic gallbladder drainage (PHGD) versus percutaneous transperitoneal gallbladder drainage (PPGD) before scheduled laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) in 103 acute calculous cholecystitis patients. They found that both drainage techniques can resolve cholecystitis sepsis quickly after drainage, but patients receiving PHGD shown fewer complications compared to PPGD on pain score during puncture and at 12 h of follow-up, fever & chills within 24 h after drainage, but higher local bleeding. Greater efficacy was also noted by PHGD than PPGD in terms of duration of operation, intraoperative bleeding, rate of severe adhesion, and length of hospital stay. The authors concluded that B-mode-ultrasound-guided PHGD is superior to PPGD followed by LC for acute calculous cholecystitis treatment due to shorter procedure time, less intraoperative bleeding, and shorter hospital stay. Albeit limited by retrospective design and small case numbers, this study is interesting and might be a reference for healthcare providers who are dealing with acute cholecystitis (AC) patients.

Comments: 1. Please explain the reasons (indications) of choosing PPGD or PHGD as percutaneous cholecystostomy (PC) for the AC patients in your center's routine practice. 2. There are seven authors performed PC and LC (stated in author contributions), please revise the context in Materials and Methods accordingly since this is not a multicenter study. 3. The interpersonal technique discrepancy or selection bias (one surgeon favor PPGD, while the others prefer PHGD) should take into consideration in data analysis. 4. Correct the sentence 'The mean pain score during the procedure and after 12 h follow-up was significantly higher for patients with the PHGD than PPGD.', page 7-8, Clinical results after PHGD and PPGD, since it is a wrong description. 5. Correct the sentence 'We found that there was no significant difference between the two groups for

complications, length of stay, conversion to open surgery...', page 10 (Discussion), since the PHGD group shown a shorter length of hospital stay than PPGD group. 6. Per the conclusion stated that '...in order to reduce the difficulty of LC in the later stage, we should try our best to choose PHGD in the early stage of AC', please address this issue in the Introduction (as comment 1) why some patients received PPGD instead of PHGD on their AC episodes. 7. Is BMI significantly different between the two groups (Table 1)? Was PPGD more frequently chosen for obese subjects in this cohort? 8. Give full terms for abbreviations in the footnote for each table. 9. Revise 'mild:severe' to 'mild/severe' and 'acute:chronic' to 'acute/chronic' in table 3.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 57417

Title: Impact of B-mode-ultrasound-guided transhepatic and transperitoneal cholecystostomy tube placement on laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Reviewer's code: 00006518

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MHSc, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Assistant Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang (Technical Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-11 05:20

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-11 05:29

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

None

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 57417

Title: Impact of B-mode-ultrasound-guided transhepatic and transperitoneal cholecystostomy tube placement on laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Reviewer's code: 03285323

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang (Technical Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-11 17:44

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-11 18:18

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors made their best efforts to revise their articles. However, my recommendations seem to have been ignored, possibly due to the confusion that arose.