

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 32695

Title: Goblet cell carcinoid of the appendix and mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma: Report of three cases

Reviewer's code: 03478635

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-01-23 08:41

Date reviewed: 2017-01-23 14:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript describes about three cases of acute appendicitis symptoms with goblet cell carcinoid tumors or mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma. It is suggested to move the explanation of Ki-67 proliferation index and grade of neuroendocrine tumors defined by WHO and the European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society into introduction from discussion section to improve readability of the manuscript. For case 1, the result of immunohistochemistry for epithelial membrane antigen, chromogranin-A and other markers needs to be shown. The diagnosis of TNM staging may be checked. For case 2, please indicate the immunostaining with CK-20 and carcinoembryonic antigen with arrows in figure 5 and correct the texts. For case 3, please clarify the synaptophysin and chromogranin-A of the panels in figure 7. Please check references again and proofread the manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 32695

Title: Goblet cell carcinoid of the appendix and mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma: Report of three cases

Reviewer's code: 02913874

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-01-23 08:41

Date reviewed: 2017-02-04 19:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presented a rare pathology of appendix, "Goblet Cell Carcinoid of the Appendix". The manuscript was well structured and written. However, there are grammar mistakes and the paper should be proofread. In general, manuscript was written in a pathologist point of view and there are lots of basic pathological info about NETs. What's new in these 3 cases and what they will bring to literature should be more clarified in the text. As discussed by the authors, this rare but aggressive pathology should be more discussed with their clinical characteristics. The management strategies in these patients can provide valuable data to clinicians. Presentations to clinic and 1-2 sentences about therapeutic modalities applied after surgery should be mentioned.