

Point-to-Point response to reviews

We thank an anonymous reviewer for their helpful comments. We largely agree with the points raised and considered many of them in the revised version of the manuscript. In the following, our changes are listed next to the points raised. The revised version of the manuscript was corrected by a native English speaker.

Reviewer #1 (anonymous reviewer)

Point 1: Patient enrollment criteria are missing In the discussion, the causes of CTS are hypothesized (may be, may have...) while they should be better documented or at least exposed.

Reply: We agree to this point and add the enrollment criteria in the discussion. The statement of causes of CTS was modified.

Point 2: Table 1 to which the authors refer is missing.

Reply: Thank you for your kind reminder, it has been added in the article