



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 56442

Title: Tumor microenvironment in primary liver tumors: A challenging role of natural killer cells

Reviewer's code: 03552361

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: France

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2020-04-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-05-22 09:37

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-02 13:49

Review time: 11 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? YES 2

Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript?

YES 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? YES 4

Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? YES 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods

(e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? NO

DETAILS ON PAPER SELECTION METHOD FOR THIS REVIEW 6 Results. Are the

research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? YES 7

Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the

discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? YES 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures,

diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? GOOD

QUALITY AND APPROPRIATELY ILLUSTRATIVE 9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? NO 10 Units. Does the manuscript

meet the requirements of use of SI units? NO 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and

discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? NO PROBLEM FOR THE REFERENCES SECTION 12 Quality of

manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

appropriate? NO PROBLEM 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? no details on paper selection process for this review: the authors must explain how they selected their references. globally this article is very interesting, very clear, very easy to read.