Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6462
Title: Acute phlegmonous gastritis complicated by delayed perforation
Reviewer code: 02482455
Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei
Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 09:06
Date reviewed: 2013-11-02 16:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The authors report a case of acute phlegmonous gastritis complicated by delayed perforation. This is a rare disease so that a case report is interesting.The description is detailed and well written. The references are numerous

Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6462
Title: Acute phlegmonous gastritis complicated by delayed perforation
Reviewer code: 00041963
Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei
Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 09:06
Date reviewed: 2013-11-19 04:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The authors can omit table 1, In conclusion section the authors should add a sentence which technique can be more feasible for diagnosis. Thank you.

Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6462
Title: Acute phlegmonous gastritis complicated by delayed perforation
Reviewer code: 00058448
Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei
Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 09:06
Date reviewed: 2013-11-21 14:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	[Y] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E (Poor)		[ ] Existed	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case of phlegmonous gastritis with delayed bleeding. The followings are my comments: #1. CASE REPORT, line 5, the authors describe "she took a pill". What kind of the pill the patient took ? #2. CASE REPORT, line 15, the authors describe "and was taken to the operation room". What is the pre-operative diagnosis of her gastric distension ? Why diagnostic endoscopy not performed before surgery ? #3. CASE REPORT, paragraph 3. The authors describe "support with a high dose of epinephrine ... ". Does the patient receive proton pump inhibitor ? #4. CASE REPORT, paragraph 3, line 9. The authors describe "suspicious of focal wall disruption ". and 2 weeks, the patient received EGD (CT on POD 9, EGD on POD 23). Is there a role of UGI series or early EGD while CT finding suspicious gastric wall disruption ? #5. CASE REPORT, paragraph 3. Why CT findings got improved but the patient still received surgery ? Is there evidence of free perforation before surgery ? Is the finding of perforation at surgery consistant with prior CT finding on POD 9 ? #6. How about the nutritional status following the 1st surgery ?

Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6462
Title: Acute phlegmonous gastritis complicated by delayed perforation
Reviewer code: 00058448
Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei
Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 09:06
Date reviewed: 2013-11-21 14:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)		[ ] Existed	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case of phlegmonous gastritis with delayed bleeding. The followings are my comments: #1. CASE REPORT, line 5, the authors describe "she took a pill". What kind of the pill the patient took ? #2. CASE REPORT, line 15, the authors describe "and was taken to the operation room". What is the pre-operative diagnosis of her gastric distension ? Why diagnostic endoscopy not performed before surgery ? #3. CASE REPORT, paragraph 3. The authors describe "support with a high dose of epinephrine ... ". Does the patient receive proton pump inhibitor ? #4. CASE REPORT, paragraph 3, line 9. The authors describe "suspicious of focal wall disruption ". and 2 weeks, the patient received EGD (CT on POD 9, EGD on POD 23). Is there a role of UGI series or early EGD while CT finding suspicious gastric wall disruption ? #5. CASE REPORT, paragraph 3. Why CT findings got improved but the patient still received surgery ? Is there evidence of free perforation before surgery ? Is the finding of perforation at surgery consistant with prior CT finding on POD 9 ? #6. How about the nutritional status following the 1st surgery ?