



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 24691

**Title:** 3T magnetic resonance neurography of pudendal nerve with cadaveric dissection correlation

**Reviewer's code:** 00227565

**Reviewer's country:** Egypt

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-02-16 16:18

**Date reviewed:** 2016-02-29 19:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors Good work yet the reviewer wonder why you did not correlate this data with a group of volunteers so that it can be more trustable if applied for a large population.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 24691

**Title:** 3T magnetic resonance neurography of pudendal nerve with cadaveric dissection correlation

**Reviewer's code:** 00526405

**Reviewer's country:** Lebanon

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-02-16 16:18

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-17 19:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described the possible identification of the pudendal nerve and its branches using Magnetic Resonance Neurography before and after surgical cadaveric dissection. Specific comments Introduction - Page 6, line 2: The pudendal nerve is not only a sensory nerve; it also carries motor and autonomic fibers, as described by Hibner et al (Reference 1). - Page 6, line 19: It was mentioned that MRN is an excellent technique to identify peripheral neuropathies. The word "excellent" might be subjective. - Page 7, line 4: The phrase "In this study the authors wanted" could be replaced by "The objective of this study was to...". Materials and methods - Page 8, line 3: typing error "totaling a acquisition" - Page 8, line 8: It was mentioned that two rectal branches were identified; where they were identified? Were they bilateral? Discussion - Page 11, line 17: The authors could mention the use of nerve stimulator guidance as another technique to localize the pudendal nerve such as for the treatment of pudendal neuralgia (Naja MZ, Al-Tannir M, Maaliki H, El-Rajab M, Ziade MF, Zeidan A.Nerve-Stimulator-guided repeated pudendal nerve block for treatment of pudendal neuralgia. Eur J Anaesth. 2006; 23: 440-8). References - Page 14, lines 3-5:



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

Reference 10 is in German. - Page 24, line 7: "AJR" must be removed. - Page 15, line 2: "AJNR" must be deleted. - Page 15, line 6: "AJR" should be removed. Figures - Page 16, Figure 1: Where was the figure taken from? - Page 18: Figure 3a is not showing. - Page 20: Figure 4 is not showing.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 24691

**Title:** 3T magnetic resonance neurography of pudendal nerve with cadaveric dissection correlation

**Reviewer's code:** 00227360

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-02-16 16:18

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-18 21:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice try to visualize the pudendal nerve and its branches with 3 Tesla magnetic resonance Neurography (MRN) sequences in two cadaver bodies.