ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology
ESPS manuscript NO: 11555
Title: LABORATORY MARKERS IN ULCERATIVE COLITIS: CURRENT INSIGHTS AND FUTURE ADVANCES
Reviewer code: 00227388
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2014-05-28 16:41
Date reviewed: 2014-06-04 20:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Existing	[ ] High priority for
[Y] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Existing	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

? Page 1 Paragraph 2 line 1 Test should be Tests ? line 3 should say employment of non-invasive ....biomarkers is needed ? Paragraph 3 line 3 - "markers that could be developed ...." ? Second last Paragraph line 2-3 have revolutionised the field of the biomarker ? Last Paragraph significant benefit and not beneficial ? Page 2 Paragraph 2 last line - EITHER rewrite the last sentence or consider deleting the 3rd word not. ? Page 2 last line Tests not test ? Page 3 2nd line ..employment of non-invasive biomarkers is not are. ? Page 4 line 2 ESR not ERS ? 2nd Paragraph 1st line satisfactorily not satisfactory   ? 3rd Paragraph 2nd last line "....... none of the controls, ...   ? Page 6 5th last line of Page Levels of CRP ...... ? Page 7 3rd Paragraph from below & 3rd line ".... treatment occurs only ....." ? Page 8 3rd line " .... field of biomarker discovery ..." ? Page 9 6th line from below "..... benefit ....." not beneficial.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology
ESPS manuscript NO: 11555
Title: LABORATORY MARKERS IN ULCERATIVE COLITIS: CURRENT INSIGHTS AND FUTURE ADVANCES
Reviewer code: 00503539
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2014-05-28 16:41
Date reviewed: 2014-06-15 22:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Existing	[Y] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Existing	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ESPS No. 11555 Ms. Title: LABORATORY MARKERS IN ULCERATIVE COLITIS: CURRENT INSIGHTS AND FUTURE ADVANCES by Cioffi Michele, et al.   General comments: The authors described biomarkers assessed in UC, with special reference to acute-phase proteins and serologic markers and thereafter, they describes the new biological markers and the biological markers should be developed in the future. This well-written review article will provide much recent knowledge concerning pathogenesis and therapeutic strategy for ulcerative colitis to the readers of this journal. Specific comments: 1) Table 1 is too simple. Some comments about the characteristics/usefulness of each serum markers should be included in this table.   2) There are some misspellings. Careful edition should be required.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology
ESPS manuscript NO: 11555
Title: LABORATORY MARKERS IN ULCERATIVE COLITIS: CURRENT INSIGHTS AND FUTURE ADVANCES
Reviewer code: 00070280
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2014-05-28 16:41
Date reviewed: 2014-06-22 00:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Existing	[Y] High priority for
[Y] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Existing	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice article. Authors should consider discussing OCT 1 gene as well in discussion. Also phenotype genotype can be included as well.