

## Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

September 4, 2015

Dear Editor,



Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 20287-Review.doc).

**Title:** Pseudolymphoma (reactive lymphoid hyperplasia) of the liver: A clinical challenge

**Author:** Yong Kyong Kwon, Reena C Jha, Kambiz Etesami, Thomas M Fishbein, Metin Ozdemirli, Chirag S Desai

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Hepatology*

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 20287

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

### **Reviewer #68737**

- No revision requested

### **Reviewer #926880**

1 In the Abstract and in the text, the authors stated “... only 46 such cases have been reported to date [7]”. A detailed look at reference 7 showed there should be 47 cases reported up to 2013. The authors of reference 7 reviewed the medical literature and found 46 cases and their case was the 47 case!

- Because of the above reference #7 case report, 47 cases, not 46 cases, have been reported when we submitted our initial manuscript. New literature search since our initial manuscript submission showed that there were four more new cases of RLH. This will make 51 cases of hepatic RLH. Correction has been made accordingly with new references added for the additional four cases.

2 Please use the Brisbane 2000 nomenclature of hepatic anatomy and resections (HPB 2000;2(3):333-9) and avoid using the term ‘lobe’.

- Removed the term, “lobe”, and used the Brisbane 2000 nomenclature where appropriate.

- 3 Please define the term on first use, e.g. LI-RADS should be given as liver imaging reporting and data system as used by the American College of Radiology
  - LI-RADS term has been defined.
- 4 Occasional typographical mistakes: Case #1, last 4th line “ .... supported the diagnosis of diagnosis of RLH...”
  - The mistake has been corrected.

**Reviewer #502973**

1. I would suggest that the author had better to use arrow to point out the lesion in the MRI pictures in Figures 1 and 3 so that our readers may be more easily to find out where the lesion is in the MRI pictures of the liver.
  - The lesion in the MRI is now indicated with an arrow.
2. A typo in the last paragraph: “In conclusion, hepatic HRL will continue to...” HRL is a typo of RLH.
  - The typo corrected.

3 References and typesetting were corrected

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Hepatology*

Sincerely yours,

Chirag S Desai