

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8310

Title: Different strategies of treatment for uterine cervical carcinoma International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics stage IB2-IIIB

Reviewer code: 00742250

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-12-25 16:08

Date reviewed: 2013-12-26 09:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present review article has a high scientific value to be published in World Journal of Clinical Oncology. Just one article to be referred lacks in the text. Please add the research article (Yamashita et al, Br J Cancer 2005; 78: 821-826) in Aortic lymph node treatment section.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8310

Title: Different strategies of treatment for uterine cervical carcinoma International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics stage IB2-IIB

Reviewer code: 00227488

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-12-25 16:08

Date reviewed: 2014-01-02 15:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review has been written well. It gives a comprehensive overview of the treatment strategies for locally advanced cervical cancer. The following comment is provided to improve this paper.

Specific comment: 1. I suggest that the authors update the reference #2 to GLOBOCAN 2012.