

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2567

Title: Endoscopic emergency for a centenarian attempting suicide by swallowing 26 coins

Reviewer code: 00504218

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-01 15:04

Date reviewed: 2013-03-01 18:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is a paper showing a very rare case of centenarian who digested a variety of foreign bodies. This report should be informative to readers in the field of digestive endoscopy. My comments are shown below. Minor 1. Some part from the Abstract seems to have duplicated and migrated to the beginning part of CASE REPORT. 2. Authors should state why this patient swallowed so many foreign bodies, although the title describes 'attempting suicide'. 3. The last part in the CASE REPORT does not seem to be necessary; the part about the decrease of oxygen saturation. 4. Does 'common stone reticulum' mean 'stone retrieval net'?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2567

Title: Endoscopic emergency for a centenarian attempting suicide by swallowing 26 coins

Reviewer code: 00504418

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-01 15:04

Date reviewed: 2013-03-02 19:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This manuscript describes a successful treatment of endoscopic removal of foreign bodies that were swallowed by a centenarian woman. However, there are several queries as follows; 1. How long did you take to complete the whole procedure? 2. Could you describe her postoperative course in CASE REPORT? 3. How about her fracture? 4. You describe the location of foreign body at the upper esophagus in previous reports. What do you think regarding the foreign bodies locating at the lower esophagus in this patient? 5. Did you use the over-tube with gastroscopy during the removal of foreign body? 6. Why did not you use the sedation during endoscopic procedure?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2567

Title: Endoscopic emergency for a centenarian attempting suicide by swallowing 26 coins

Reviewer code: 00504202

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-01 15:04

Date reviewed: 2013-03-03 04:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

The article reports a clinical case rare indeed. The interest for the publication is mainly due to its uniqueness. I could not find similar case reports in PubMed. The clinical case is briefly described with properties. In my opinion, the authors should better explain the relationship between the number of foreign bodies and dyspnea, possibly due to a mechanical compression or episodes of ab-ingestis. It would be also a better description of why foreign bodies (small in size) were impacted in the distal esophagus and not accumulated in the stomach. Probably there was an organic or functional narrowing of the cardia. This clarification should be better described. The language is correct and fluent Pictures are well done but not excellent