

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16432

**Title:** What is Schizophrenia? Results from 25 years of analytical epidemiological research (the ABC Schizophrenia Study)

**Reviewer's code:** 02445181

**Reviewer's country:** Australia

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-22 14:52

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-26 16:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This editorial summarised main findings from a 25-year study on schizophrenia in a few countries in Europe. The author outlined the methods and key results in the limited spaces allowed for an editorial. However, it is a bit hard to make a full judgement of the methodology based on the general summaries of the cohorts and data collected and analysed. The results seem not answer the questions asked in the beginning, i.e. "what is schizophrenia?" rather exploring the age differences in incidences. There might be something missing between the results and the conclusion. In addition, it would be better to highlight what implications of the findings for diagnosis and or treatment.