

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: the association between neurofibromatosis 1 and GIST is well described and therefore the case does not highlight a novel finding.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Yao MQ et al. experienced an important representative case of neurofibromatosis type I-associated gastrointestinal stromal tumors in small intestine. I have a few points to be worried and the authors should address the points below. Minor points:

- 1) The authors should describe whether there were any GISTs in other parts of small intestine.

There was not mass in other parts of small intestine

- 2) The authors should describe why they did not perform FDG-PET, capsule endoscopy, or balloon-assisted enteroscopy before surgery.

It is the most perfect that FDG-PET, capsule endoscopy, balloon assisted colonoscopy were performed before surgery. Our hospital can not perform the above examination, and patients are not willing to go to other hospitals for these examination.

- 3) If multiple GISTs develop again, there is a risk of short bowel syndrome after resecting all GISTs by surgery. The authors should describe how to follow-up and what they can do for newly developed GISTs in the future.

We recommend that patients completed abdominal enhanced CT or MRI every year after surgery. Any small recurrent tumors in the small intestine will be removed enteroscopically.

4) The authors should delete all traces in red of their own revisions before submitting the manuscript.

We have deleted all traces in red of our own revisions

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade E (Do not publish)

Language Quality: Grade D (Rejection)

Conclusion: Rejection

Specific Comments to Authors: English of manuscript is erratic to read and disastrous, needs Professional editing My sincerere request , do not waste precious time of reviewers by writing Manuscripts in this terrible English

Abstract line 2 "What is this conveying "Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) is the most important and common" "was admitted to the hospital ' where are then patients admitted ? "was flat and soft without tenderness " Heard this term "Exploratory laparoscopy " first time Exploratory laparoscopy is effective for both diagnosis and treatment ,how is malignancy diagnosed on that ? Core Tip is whole investigations Case Presentation ...because of a pelvic mass... irritable terms The patient denied smoking and drinking. One sister and one brother had been diagnosed with NF1. is this way of writing

The language errors in the manuscript have been corrected and the native language professionals had polished the manuscript.