

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 63283

**Title:** The Role of Imaging in Evaluating the Response After Neoadjuvant Treatment for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma

**Reviewer's code:** 00077100

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-01-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-01-27 16:53

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-02-03 00:24

**Review time:** 6 Days and 7 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This invited review try to summarize the application of imaging studies in the post-treatment staging for patient with pancreatic cancer. It is well written but the following should be clarified: 1. This may only apply to pancreatic adenocarcinoma 2. It should be mentioned or addressed that with the advancement of technology, EUS has been widely used for this purpose. IT is understandable that the authors are focused on Imaging studies, but it will generate confusion if the EUS for the same purpose was not acknowledged. 3. The introduction should be shortened to make it concise and purpose driving. 4. The conclusion should be edited/modified.