

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23113

Title: Pancreatic perivascular epithelioid cell tumor: a case report with clinicopathological feature and literature review

Reviewer's code: 02441737

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-11-12 15:41

Date reviewed: 2015-12-04 02:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the manuscript entitled: Pancreatic perivascular epithelioid cell tumor: a case report with clinic pathological feature and literature review. From the authors: Jiang H et al. The manuscript is properly presented and present a case report of Pancreatic Perivascular Epithelioid Cell Tumor. Comments It is an article of great importance. However, the following recommendations can help to improve the presentation of the manuscript: It is important that researchers indicate the name of the Ethics Committee approved the study and if the patient was treated according to the provisions of the Helsinki criteria to conduct research involving human subjects. In the introduction, it is recommended that the authors of this manuscript present in detail the epidemiological aspects of this type of tumor. Frequency by geographic location, sex, age, clinical manifestations and predisposing factors, if there is a hereditary predisposition, it would be appropriate to describe it. The authors show excellent methodology to distinguish the histopathological tumor lineage. However, because it is one case report, more information about the clinical and laboratory aspects of the patient is needed. It is recommended described, what is the



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

status of the patient clinical follow-up? , and what is the prognosis of the patients with this type of tumor?. It is advisable to explain more clearly and in detail the contents of all figures into the text in the results section. Page 8, first paragraph write the citation of Nagata et al. In the discussion section, it is recommended that the authors explain in more detail, what is the role of female sex hormones in the PEComas behavior? In Table 1, note at the foot of the table the meaning of the acronyms used. The title of Table 1 is very general, it is recommended to change it to a more descriptive title of the content of the table. It is also advisable to write a foot of the table. It is recommended to present a conclusion of the case report.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23113

Title: Pancreatic perivascular epithelioid cell tumor: a case report with clinicopathological feature and literature review

Reviewer's code: 01489500

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-11-12 15:41

Date reviewed: 2015-12-04 02:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written case report of a rare tumour of the pancreas. The case is presented in much detail and with adequate radiologic, histologic and cytological material. The literature review is also comprehensive. I would like to see a picture of tumour on EUS instead of so many histological slides.