



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23245

Title: The role of ultrasound elastographic techniques in assessing focal liver lesions

Reviewer's code: 00058353

Reviewer's country: Argentina

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-11-02 08:49

Date reviewed: 2015-11-15 20:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It's a very detailed review in a very interesant issue and I recommend its publication It would be useful to read if it had at the end of the manuscript a more extense list of abbreviations used in the text. Minor revision of english



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23245

Title: The role of ultrasound elastographic techniques in assessing focal liver lesions

Reviewer’s code: 01561000

Reviewer’s country: Japan

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-11-02 08:49

Date reviewed: 2015-11-28 15:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer: Summary Authors reviewed US elastographic technique and their application for focal liver lesions. They concluded that although the elastographic US-based technique is a potentially promising accurate tool for differentiating benign from neoplastic lesions, there is a great amount of heterogeneity shown in the studies published to date. Thus further larger prospecting studies with a stronger level of evidence are required. The design, structure, and writing of the manuscript are well. But before it is accepted, some comments should be indicated: General comments 1. In “SONOELASTOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUES” section, there listed a number of different elastographic techniques. You should list those in a Table. In addition, you should add each manufacture’s name and trade name for better understanding of readers. 2. You described like this “Quasi-Static”. Is this word official? I’ve never seen it. You should replace just “Static” or “Strain”. 3. In “ULTRASOUND ELASTOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUES IN FOCAL LIVER LESIONS” section, “General consideration” section has nothing to do with focal liver lesion. You should get rid of it.