

82860_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Stem Cells*

Manuscript NO: 82860

Manuscript Type: REVIEW

Modulation of stem cell fate in intestinal homeostasis, injury and repair

Wang Z *et al.* Intestinal stem cell fate modulation

Zhe Wang, Yan-ji Qu, Min Cui

Abstract

The mammalian intestinal epithelium constitutes the largest barrier against the external environment and makes flexible responses to various types of stimuli. Epithelial cells are fast-renewed to counteract constant damage and disrupted barrier function to maintain their integrity. The homeostatic repair and regeneration of the intestinal epithelium are governed by the Lgr5⁺ intestinal stem cells (ISCs) located at the base of crypts, which fuel rapid renewal and give rise to the different epithelial cell types. Protracted biological and physicochemical stress may challenge epithelial integrity and the function of ISCs. The field of ISCs is thus of interest for complete mucosal healing, given its relevance to diseases of intestinal injury and inflammation such as inflammatory bowel diseases. Here, we review the current understanding of the signals and mechanisms that control homeostasis and regeneration of the intestinal epithelium. We focus on recent insights into the intrinsic and extrinsic elements involved in the process of intestinal homeostasis, injury, and repair, which fine-tune the balance between self-renewal and cell fate specification in intestinal stem cells. Deciphering the regulatory machinery that modulates stem cell fate determination would aid

in the development of novel therapeutics that promote mucosal healing and restore critical epithelial barrier function.

Key words: Intestinal stem cell; Epithelial repair; Homeostasis; Regeneration; Self-renewal; Apoptosis

Core tip: The homeostatic repair and regeneration of the intestinal epithelium upon injury are governed by the Lgr5⁺ intestinal stem cells located at the base of crypts, which fuel rapid renewal and give rise to different epithelial cell types. We review the current understanding of the intrinsic niche signaling and extrinsic stimulating factors that control homeostasis and regeneration of the intestinal stem cells. Deciphering the regulatory machinery that modulates stem cell fate determination, and formulating strategies for better repair and regeneration would aid in the development of novel therapeutics that promote complete mucosal healing and restore epithelial barrier function.

INTRODUCTION

Intestinal epithelium serves as the first line of defense against the external environment. As an outward single-layered epithelial structure, the intestinal mucosa withstands continuous mechanical, physicochemical, and biological insults [1,2]. To counteract intestinal injury and preserve their barrier function, epithelial cells are renewed every 2–5 d in most adult mammals [3]. The epithelial turnover is coordinated by Lgr5⁺ intestinal stem cells (ISCs) residing at the base of the crypts where they are kept in a multipotent state, and produce transit amplifying (TA) progenitor cells. TA cells will undergo several cycles of division before migrating to the villi and ultimately differentiate into multiple lineages [4,5]. The disrupted barrier function and defective mucosal healing are the predominant biological feature of intestinal pathology, and particularly, chronic gastrointestinal inflammation such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), which is represented by ulcerative colitis

and Crohn's disease^[6-8]. Current clinical strategies focus on the symptomatic relief and blockade of inflammatory progression^[9, 10], while better solutions should emphasize the motivation of regenerative response orchestrated by ISCs for complete mucosal healing.

Mucosal healing is an integrated network initiated by a series of biological process and signals^[11]. Intestinal homeostasis is characterized by the constant regeneration, which demands a fine-tuned balance between ISC proliferation and differentiation^[12, 13]. In response to diverse insults, the cellular response, combined with the stem cell niche adaptations, synthetically modulates the fate of ISCs to restore homeostasis by replenishment of damaged epithelial cells, or by hastening cell demise by impairment of cell function and vitality^[14, 15]. Therefore, understanding the cellular response and niche adaptations during injury-induced intestinal regeneration is therefore of importance for ISC biology.

Constant efforts have been made to exploit the regulatory mechanisms of critical components that seal the fate of ISCs. In this review, we give an overview of ISCs and review the adaptations and signals required for homeostasis maintenance. We focus on the cell fate specification and biological alterations of ISCs upon diverse insults and provide insights into intestinal regeneration.

INTESTINAL STEM CELL

Two distinct ISC populations located at the crypts have been proposed: crypt base columnar (CBC) cells, the active cycling stem cells that facilitate homeostatic self-renewal^[16], and +4 cells, the quiescent stem cells reserved for injury-induced repair^[17].

CBC cells have been the centerpiece of stem cell research since they were initially identified in 1974 as continuously cycling cells at the base of the crypts^[18]. Radionucleotide labeling and autoradiography have been used to state that the cells derived from the crypts migrate upward along the villi

to be extruded at the villus tips [19, 20]. This conveyor belt mechanism confirmed stem cell fueling this rapid self-renewal process resides at the base of the crypts [21]. The generation of Lgr5^{EGFP-IRES-CreERT2} mice revealed that Lgr5, a receptor for WNT signaling-associated R-spondins, is a highly suitable candidate for CBC cells recognition and specification [3]. Single-sorted Lgr5⁺ stem cells are also able to form these crypt-villus organoids and the Lgr5 hierarchy is maintained in organoids [22]. Recent studies have identified that p27 and Mex3a label the slowly cycling subpopulation of Lgr5⁺ ISCs based on single-cell transcriptome profiling [23, 24].

In addition to Lgr5⁺ CBC cells, Bmi1⁺ cells localized at the fourth position of the crypt base discovered by *in vivo* lineage tracing and transcriptome analyses, are a possible candidate stem cell population [4, 25]. Functionally distinct from Lgr5⁺ ISCs, the quiescent +4 stem cells are considered reserved stem cells that replenishes the continuously cycling CBC cells pool when required and are highly resistant to radiation and insensitive to Wnt signal [17, 26]. In face of chemoradiotherapy, Lgr5⁺ stem cells are vulnerable to chemical or irradiation-induced injury, due to their predominantly cycling nature [24, 27]. Bmi1⁺ cells quickly revert to ISCs and the *de novo*-generated Lgr5⁺ ISCs are vital for epithelial regeneration [28]. The evidence summarizes the relationship between active and quiescent stem cells and identifies Lgr5⁺ stem cells as a substantial contributor to homeostatic regeneration [29].

The identification of new ISC markers and the dedication each subpopulation of ISCs commit to regeneration have improved the understanding of stem cell biology during homeostasis and disease. The emergence of new technologies has promoted the decoding of many key problems in intestinal diseases and tumors [4].

INTESTINAL STEM CELL NICHES

The niche in which ISCs reside can be defined as the microenvironment essential for self-renewal and stemness maintenance [13]. ISCs are strongly

linked with adjacent cells of both epithelial and mesenchymal origin. These components, along with their communications, comprise the ISC niche [30, 31]. The specific instructive microenvironment offers a native source of signals that fuel ISCs to maintain tissue homeostasis [32]. Various cell types of the niche elaborate typical paracrine signals containing Wnt, R-spondin, Notch, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), epidermal growth factor (EGF) and Hippo, which fine-tune the balance between differentiation and proliferation of ISCs, and ensure the production of an adequate number of cells in homeostatic and injury conditions [1, 33] (Figure 1).

Wnt signaling

The canonical Wnt signaling acts as the prominent driver for ISC proliferation. Synchronous Wnt binding to Frizzled and to LRP5/6 suppresses APC-related ubiquitination of β -catenin, mediating its ¹⁰ nuclear translocation, association with lymphoid enhancer binding factor (LEF)/ T cell factor (TCF) transcription factors, and the succeeding transactivation of Wnt target genes [34-36]. Multiple Wnts such as Wnt2b, Wnt4, and Wnt5a are abundantly expressed in intestinal stroma [30]. A subset of Foxl1⁺ mesenchymal stromal cells that form a subepithelial plexus around the crypt is a crucial source of intestinal Wnt [37]. Genetic elimination of Foxl1⁺ cells triggers the loss of Wnt family expression in the epithelium and an abrupt cessation of proliferation of both epithelial stem cell and TA progenitor cell populations, but not Paneth cells [38]. Wnt2b is highly expressed in Gli1⁺ or α SMA⁺ subepithelial stromal cells, which is sufficient to restore epithelial integrity when injected into mice which is devoid of Wnt secretion [39]. Gli1⁺ subepithelial cells are essential contributors to the integrity of the colonic epithelium for Lgr5⁺ ISC self-renewal in the colon [40]. As a noncanonical Wnt ligand, Wnt5a deficiency causes a failure to develop new crypts at the wound site and limits the proliferation of crypt cells after injury in a transforming growth factor

(TGF) β -dependent manner [41]. These findings reveal the essential role of Wnt signal for the stemness and proliferation of ISC and highlight the contribution of stromal cells in the ISC niche.

The R-spondins comprise one of crucial elements of the niche. R-spondins are secretory glycoproteins which firmly cement the capacity of Wnt ligands for the activation of β -catenin-dependent transcription and canonical Wnt signaling, while R-spondins themselves have no intrinsic Wnt signaling activity [29, 42]. Overexpressed R-spondins *in vivo* forcefully induce the expansion of ISCs and maintain the epithelial integrity against damage mediated by the chemotherapeutic agent 5-fluorouracil, dextran sulfate sodium (DSS), or irradiation [43–45]. Wnt proteins are reported to be insufficient to directly regulate ISC self-renewal, while alternatively grant a fundamental competency through motivating R-spondin ligands to actively motivate ISC [46].

Notch signaling

1 Notch signaling plays a dominant role in the stem cell niche by preserving the quiescent state of ISCs [47]. Integrating between Notch ligands (Notch1–4) and receptors (Jag1–2 and Dll1–4) in adjacent cells is required for Notch activation [48]. Different from Wnt signaling that mainly generated from a stromal microenvironment, Notch signaling may function via neighboring epithelial cells or even stromal subpopulations contacting with ISCs, thus featuring an epithelial niche [49].

Disruption of Notch activity leads to the exhaustion of ISC and differentiation from proliferating TA cells to secretory cells [50]. Simultaneous Notch1/2 deletion recapitulates the global Notch inhibition phenotype of Lgr5⁺ ISC loss, while the single deletion does not change ISC activity, which suggests the synthetical effect of Notch1/2 in stemness maintenance [51, 52].

mTOR signaling

The mTOR signaling is a vital pathway for cellular development and metabolism in mammals. mTOR signaling directly modulates stemness and proliferation of ISCs, functioning as a crucial determinant of cell status within the ISC lineage and modulating differentiation in a nutrient-dependent way [53]. Inhibiting mTOR signaling helps to maintain stemness of ISCs, whereas activation of mTOR facilitates ISCs differentiation and proliferation [54, 55]. In the case of caloric restriction, the activity of mTOR complex 1 is inhibited in Paneth cells, resulting in the paracrine release of cyclic ADP ribose that increases self-renewal of ISCs at the cost of differentiation [56]. In high relevance to diet, the mTOR pathway control stem cell fate possibly by regulating mitochondrial metabolic states.

BMP signaling

BMP signaling acts as an initiator of differentiation in the crypt. Wnt and BMP signaling are deemed as opposite forces along the crypt-villus axis with counteractive gradients of activity [57]. BMP activity is lower in the bottom and higher towards the top of the villus [58]. To offset the inhibitory effects of BMP signaling on ISC fate, BMP antagonists like Noggin, Gremlin-1, and Gremlin-2 are highly expressed in the crypts, permitting the proliferation of ISCs. The BMP antagonists that enhance ISCs self-renewal are secreted by intestinal subepithelial myofibroblasts and smooth muscle cells [59, 60].

EGF signaling

EGF is a vital component of the ISC niche [61]. The EGF receptor is abundantly expressed in CBCs, whereas its ligands are expressed in Paneth cells [62]. The activity of ErbB signaling is monitored by the negative regulation of Lrig1, a transmembrane protein coexpressed with Lgr5 in CBCs [62]. Loss of Lrig1 leads to the activation of receptors and a concomitant rapid expansion of crypts and cell numbers. Blockade of EGF signaling in intestinal organoids

drives proliferative ISCs into quiescent state and stops organoid budding [63]. The evidence suggests the requirement of EGF in epithelial regeneration.

Hippo signaling

The Hippo pathway, a highly conserved signaling first described in *Drosophila* as an organ size control pathway, is comprised of a core kinase cascade, Mst1/2 and Lats1/2, which phosphorylate and suppress transcriptional coactivators Yes1 associated transcriptional regulator (YAP) and Tafazzin (TAZ), thereby modulating TEA domain transcription factor 1 (TEAD)-mediated transcriptional activation [64, 65]. YAP/TAZ are the core components for regeneration. YAP overexpression in mice accelerates the self-renewal of colonic epithelium, and augments the number of proliferative cells and the cell migration along the crypt-villus axis, as detected by BrdU marker [43]. While YAP depletion causes a significant decrease in crypt proliferation, extensive crypt loss and consequently regeneration failure upon DSS or irradiation [66, 67]. Loss of YAP activity contributes to higher sensitivity of ISCs to apoptosis and lower proliferative capacity during regeneration [68]. Moreover, the core Hippo kinases Lats1/2 are essential to maintain ISC activity and their deletion leads to the loss of ISCs [69]. This demonstrates their essential effects on epithelial proliferation and tissue regeneration. YAP may actively block Wnt signaling and thus apply negative feedback on Wnt signaling via β -catenin inhibition [43], indicating the complex interaction between YAP and other niche signals which may need further investigation.

ENDOGENOUS AND ENVIRONMENTAL STIMULI

The intestinal epithelium is exposed to a hostile luminal environment, thus resulting in crypt Lgr5⁺ ISCs continuously encountering sources of stress to maintain dynamic homeostasis. We summarize the major endogenous and environmental stimuli that influence stem cell regenerative potential (Figure 2).

Endogenous factors

Niche signals: Damage to the crypts can result from infections, chronic inflammation, chemoradiotherapy, or traumatic injury and motivate a series of action in the stem cell niche [31]. The microenvironment monitors the regenerative response via regulating a series of signaling pathways, such as Wnt, Notch, BMP, and Hippo. This has been discussed above.

Extracellular matrix: The state of stem cells largely depends on the properties of the extracellular matrix (ECM) [31]. The integrin complex assists cells in sensing the stiffness of the ECM and directs the fate of ISCs via adhesion signaling [70, 71]. In this way, the ECM affects cellular behavior, including proliferation and differentiation. ECM stiffness is also a vital endogenous factor of mesenchymal stem cells to differentiate into osteoblasts, myoblasts, or neurons [72]. In particular, YAP/TAZ lay the foundation for ECM stiffness sensing and play a prominent role in intestinal repair and regeneration [73]. High matrix stiffness significantly enhanced ISC expansion in a YAP-dependent manner [74]. These clues speculate that ECM sensation is capable of modulating self-renewal in ISCs.

Mitochondrial function: Mitochondrial function emerges as a central player in cell fate determination and extensively control of cellular stress responses, metabolism, immunity, and apoptosis [75]. Mitochondria are the center of energy metabolism and the regulator of stem cell homeostasis [76, 77], and oxidative phosphorylation is particularly important to maintain the function of stem cells [78]. For example, pyruvate oxidation in the mitochondria works as a metabolic checkpoint of ISC self-renewal and/or stemness maintenance [77]. Regulators of mitochondrial signals such as ATP, reactive oxygen species (ROS), the mitochondrial unfolded protein response, and AMP-activated

protein kinase signaling, will in turn affect stemness and cell cycle progression [75].

Enteric nervous system: In spite of the limited studies into the underlying relationship between the nervous system and intestinal epithelial regeneration, some novel indications are pointed out that the enteric nervous system exerts a potential role with great value. Enteric glial cells are in closely connection with the intestinal epithelium and depletion of enteric glial cells will exacerbate DSS-induced injury [79]. The administration of hepatocyte growth factor from neural cells of the enteric nervous system attenuates the hostile effects of DSS [80]. Several evidence also unveil a potential effect of the enteric glial cells in mucosal healing through the release of the specific niche factors like glial-derived neurotrophic factor, TGF- β 1 or 15-deoxy-12,14-prostaglandin J2 [41, 81, 82].

Extrinsic factors

Diet: The biological behavior of stem cells is largely affected by nutritional state [31]. The mTOR signaling is responsible for sensing nutritional state [83]. Mice fed with a calorie-restricted diet exhibit an augmented function in Lgr5⁺ ISCs and Paneth cells compared with mice with a normal diet [56, 84]. Moreover, the calorie-restricted diet diminishes mTOR activity in quiescent stem cells, improving their resistance to radiation damage and promoting intestinal repair [85]. In contrast, a high-fat diet can increase ISC activity despite decreasing Paneth cells activity. ISCs of high-fat diet mice exhibited higher resistant to irradiation and more efficient organoid budding potential than control mice. The high-fat diet activate Wnt signaling in ISCs dependent on the nuclear peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor δ [86]. Another study also reveals that an obesogenic diet induces ISCs and progenitor cells hyperproliferation, triggers ISC differentiation and cell turnover, and alters the regional characteristics of ISCs and enterocytes in mice [87]. Acute fasting

has been shown to lead to transient phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) phosphorylation within quiescent ISCs and render quiescent ISCs functionally poised to contribute to the regenerative response during refeeding [88].

Microorganisms: Microorganisms play an indispensable role in gut homeostasis, but the underlying mechanisms are complicated and elusive. Small molecules and metabolites produced by gut microbiota significantly contribute to the host intestinal development, function, and homeostasis [89]. Lactate from lactic acid-producing bacteria plays a pivotal role in promoting ISC proliferation and epithelial development [90]. Butyrate within the crypts conveyed a growth-inhibiting effect on Lgr5⁺ ISCs via Forkhead box O3 (Foxo3) [91]. The bacterial product muramyl dipeptide has been reported to decrease the level of ROS in ISCs, and promote intestinal organoid growth and tissue repair [92, 93]. *Salmonella* can enter the crypts during infection and cause a significant decrease in Lgr5⁺ ISCs [94, 95]. The enteric pathogen rotavirus specifically invades and deteriorates differentiated cells at villus tips, and then motivates Lgr5⁺ ISCs, crypt expansion, and hyperproliferation [96]. Gut pathogens are thus distinctive element capable of tuning the stem cell fate.

Inflammatory signaling: In addition to the local niche signals and physicochemical stimuli, the activation of the immune system is also involved in the interactions between epithelial cells and the niche to guarantee proper initiation and continuation of the regenerative response. Interleukin (IL)-22, which derives from the intestine, contains group 3 innate lymphoid cells that reside in close proximity to intestinal crypts and are upregulated after injury and support subsequent epithelial regeneration [97]. Recombinant IL-22 has been shown to directly target ISCs, thus facilitating the growth of human and mice intestinal organoids and promoting ISC self-renewal [98, 99]. A recent study also indicates that the symmetric division of ISCs can be triggered by

the inflammatory signals to prevent excessive expansion in the process of epithelial repair ^[100].

Chemoradiotherapy: Intestinal mucosal damage occurs in 40%–60% of patients receiving chemotherapy or radiotherapy ^[101]. Chemotherapy- or radiotherapy-induced cellular apoptosis can be the primary factor initiating the gastrointestinal syndrome ^[102, 103]. The injury response of intestinal epithelium after chemoradiotherapy has been the most extensively characterized model of Lgr5⁺ ISC loss and proliferation to date, due to its hypersensitivity to radiation and chemotherapy. Targeting p53-dependent stem cell death is the core strategy for intestinal chemo- or radioprotection ^[102, 104, 105]. The Toll-like receptor 4 signaling pathway ^[106], Slit guidance ligand 2 (Slit2)/ Roundabout guidance receptor 1 (Robo1) signaling ^[45], gut microbiota ^[90, 107], and dietary components such as green tea derivative (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate ^[108], aspartate ^[109], pectin ^[110], and vitamin D ^[111] have been shown to mitigate the loss of ISCs and alleviate intestinal injury. The deletion of regulation of the nuclear pre-mRNA domain containing 1B (CREPT) suppressed the proliferation and differentiation of ISCs and reduced Lgr5⁺ cell numbers after X-ray irradiation ^[112]. Therapeutic strategies based on the inhibition of ISC apoptosis without compromising the efficacy of cancer treatment are of great potential.

MODULATION OF STEM CELL FATE

The injury response of intestinal epithelium is critical to restore epithelial integrity upon diverse insults ^[13]. The immediate response of intestinal damage is the loss of Lgr5⁺ ISCs, while it is generally adaptive modulation since the reserved subpopulations are activated to replenish the defects. However, excessive damage may cause ISC depletion and militate against epithelial regeneration. Critical cellular adaptation has been made to restore homeostasis in ISCs.

Apoptosis

As the best-understood form of programmed cell death, apoptosis has been largely clarified in the field of stem cells. Lgr5⁺ ISC are more vulnerable to apoptosis than Bmi1⁺ stem cells are [113]. Considering the critical role of mitochondria in stemness maintenance, regenerative capacity determination, and modulation between self-renewal and cell death programs, mitochondrial function are vital determinants of stem cell fate [75]. For Lgr5⁺ ISCs, mitochondrial dysfunction is the major cause of apoptosis. A series of molecules such as Bcl-2, Puma, Survivin, Phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthetase 1 (PRPS1), and X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP) have been characterized for modulating ISC apoptosis. Other biological processes, including immune response, hormone response, post-translational modification, and signaling such as Hippo and G protein coupled receptor (GPCR), are crucial to controlling Lgr5⁺ ISC apoptosis. The pivotal molecules regulating stem cell apoptosis are shown in Table 1. The excavation of novel strategies based on ISC survival is of great significance to epithelial regeneration.

Necroptosis

Necroptosis is also involved in crypt damage. The loss of SETDB1 in ISCs, a histone methyltransferase that induces the trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 9, triggers Z-DNA-binding protein 1 (ZBP1)-dependent necroptosis, which irreversibly disrupted the integrity of the epithelial barrier and promoted the progression of IBD [114]. Intestinal organoids lacking ATG16L1 are more prone to initiate tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α -mediated necroptosis, and therapeutic blockage of necroptosis through TNF α or RIPK1 inhibition ameliorates the severity of IBD [115]. TNF α exacerbates necroptosis of differentiated cells and mediates the expansion of LGR5⁺ ISCs [116]. Therefore,

necroptosis inhibitors could be used to promote mucosal healing in IBD patients.

Autophagy

³ Autophagy is a highly conserved process during evolution in eukaryotes, by which the cytoplasmic materials are degraded inside the autolysosome. Three distinct forms of autophagy, including microautophagy, chaperone-mediated autophagy, and macroautophagy have been described. Autophagy has been demonstrated crucial in modulating the interactions ³ between gut microbiota and innate and adaptive immunity, and in host defense against intestinal pathogens, and in maintaining intestinal homeostasis [117].

In the *Drosophila* intestine, autophagy downregulates the sensitivity of differentiated enterocytes to ROS when exposed to commensal bacteria. Mechanistically, the autophagic substrate Ref (2)P/p62 accumulates upon autophagy deficiency, thus inactivating Hippo signaling and leading to stem cell over-proliferation [118]. Autophagy can also protect ISCs against irradiation-induced oxidative stress through preserving mitochondrial health and function. Accordingly, stem cell-based intestinal regeneration after radiotherapy is impaired in mice with Atg5 deficiency. More recent work has highlighted the role of ATG16L1-dependent autophagy in protecting ISCs from irradiation-induced ROS [92, 119]. In a *Drosophila* model, Atg6 deficiency impairs the inhibitory effect of metformin on ISC aging [120]. A recent study has confirmed the role of Atg7 in maintaining epithelial integrity against DNA damage and cell death [121]. With the rapid progress of Lgr5⁺ ISC isolation and detection, the role of autophagy in ISCs will be further elucidated.

Endoplasmic reticulum stress and unfolded protein response

As the primary organelle for protein folding and quality control, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is sensitive to multiple intrinsic cellular disturbances and

extrinsic environmental changes, which would alter ³ ER homeostasis and cause misfolded protein accumulation, leading to activation of unfolded protein response (UPR) ⁷ (UPR) ¹²². Previous studies have shown that UPR exerts a significant role in the pathogenesis and progression of IBD ¹²³. Human genetic studies of IBD have identified primary genetic abnormalities in several genes, including *Xbp1*, *Agr2*, and *Ormdl3*, that encode proteins associated with ER stress ¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶. More importantly, the control of ISC fate is coordinated by ER stress and UPR. Activation of ER stress leads to the loss of stemness of ISCs in a PERK-eIF2 α -dependent manner ¹²⁷. XBP1, a stress sensor involved in the UPR, acts as a signaling hub to regulate stem cell function and epithelial DNA damage responses in a p53-DDIT4L-dependent manner ¹²⁸. XBP1 is also demonstrated to maintain ISC quiescence and control ISCs activity ¹²⁹. Intestinal epithelium-specific deletion of glycoprotein 96, an ER-resident master chaperone, causes rapid destruction of ¹³ stem cell niche, followed by complete eradication of the mucosal layer and epithelial cells death ¹³⁰. In summary, UPR is indispensable for stemness maintenance and fate determination of ISCs.

¹⁴ FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR STEM-CELL-BASED THERAPY

Stem-cell-based therapy holds promise for the complete mucosal healing of gastrointestinal diseases. Related studies have applied exogenous stem cells such as mesenchymal stem cells and placental-derived stem cells for treating intestinal inflammation and injury ^{131, 132}, and achieved encouraging outcomes. With the boost of research in the field of ISCs, the intestinal organoid models, especially ⁵ those of human origin, offer a unique platform to explore the mystery of ISC fate decisions and lineage specification in physiological and pathological conditions ¹⁴, and excavate novel strategies to facilitate the regenerative capacity of ISCs. Integration with novel nanomaterials can provide a more effective strategy for facilitating intestinal repair targeting at ISCs, such as grape exosome-like nanoparticles ¹³³,

polydopamine nanoparticles ^[134], and carbon nanoparticles ^[135]. Thus, one important future direction in the ISCs field is to precisely tune the fate of stem cells for better regeneration.

CONCLUSION

Intestinal epithelial regeneration is a complex network that is based on the function of ISCs. The dynamic balance between stemness and self-renewal is fine-tuned and regulated by stem cell niche and various endogenous and extrinsic factors. Great strides have been made in our understanding of the function and fate specification of ISCs in health and disease. In this review, we summarized the different components and signals that function in ISCs in the process of intestinal epithelial injury and repair. Cellular adaptations including apoptosis, necroptosis, autophagy, and UPR have been extensively investigated. Modulating the essential niche signaling or facilitating beneficial elements in the stem cell microenvironment provides novel insights into the regenerative process and opens an avenue for stem-cell-based therapies for diseases caused by intestinal epithelial injury.

10%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <div style="background-color: red; color: white; text-align: center; width: 40px; height: 40px; line-height: 40px; margin: 5px 0;">1</div> | <div style="color: red;">António J.M. Santos, Yuan-Hung Lo, Amanda T. Mah, Calvin J. Kuo. "The Intestinal Stem Cell Niche: Homeostasis and Adaptations", Trends in Cell Biology, 2018</div> <div style="color: gray; font-size: small;">Crossref</div> | <div style="font-size: large;">84 words — 2%</div> |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: magenta; color: white; text-align: center; width: 40px; height: 40px; line-height: 40px; margin: 5px 0;">2</div> | <div style="color: magenta;">www.nature.com</div> <div style="color: gray; font-size: small;">Internet</div> | <div style="font-size: large;">58 words — 1%</div> |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: purple; color: white; text-align: center; width: 40px; height: 40px; line-height: 40px; margin: 5px 0;">3</div> | <div style="color: purple;">www.tandfonline.com</div> <div style="color: gray; font-size: small;">Internet</div> | <div style="font-size: large;">57 words — 1%</div> |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: teal; color: white; text-align: center; width: 40px; height: 40px; line-height: 40px; margin: 5px 0;">4</div> | <div style="color: teal;">Joep Beumer, Hans Clevers. "Regulation and plasticity of intestinal stem cells during homeostasis and regeneration", Development, 2016</div> <div style="color: gray; font-size: small;">Crossref</div> | <div style="font-size: large;">39 words — 1%</div> |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: green; color: white; text-align: center; width: 40px; height: 40px; line-height: 40px; margin: 5px 0;">5</div> | <div style="color: green;">Joris H. Hageman, Maria C. Heinz, Kai Kretzschmar, Jelte van der Vaart, Hans Clevers, Hugo J.G. Snippert. "Intestinal Regeneration: Regulation by the Microenvironment", Developmental Cell, 2020</div> <div style="color: gray; font-size: small;">Crossref</div> | <div style="font-size: large;">31 words — 1%</div> |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: brown; color: white; text-align: center; width: 40px; height: 40px; line-height: 40px; margin: 5px 0;">6</div> | <div style="color: brown;">www.pubfacts.com</div> <div style="color: gray; font-size: small;">Internet</div> | <div style="font-size: large;">29 words — 1%</div> |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: darkbrown; color: white; text-align: center; width: 40px; height: 40px; line-height: 40px; margin: 5px 0;">7</div> | <div style="color: darkbrown;">deepblue.lib.umich.edu</div> <div style="color: gray; font-size: small;">Internet</div> | <div style="font-size: large;">26 words — 1%</div> |

8 Eva Rath, Antonio Moschetta, Dirk Haller. 25 words — 1 %
"Mitochondrial function — gatekeeper of intestinal
epithelial cell homeostasis", Nature Reviews Gastroenterology
& Hepatology, 2018

Crossref

9 Qi Li, Yang Sun, Gopala K. Jarugumilli, Shun Liu et al. 22 words — 1 %
"Lats1/2 Sustain Intestinal Stem Cells and Wnt
Activation through TEAD-Dependent and Independent
Transcription", Cell Stem Cell, 2020

Crossref

10 www.weizmann.ac.il 16 words — < 1 %
Internet

11 s-space.snu.ac.kr 14 words — < 1 %
Internet

12 Feihong Deng, Zengrong Wu, Fei Zou, Su Wang, 12 words — < 1 %
Xuehong Wang. "The Hippo-YAP/TAZ Signaling
Pathway in Intestinal Self-Renewal and Regeneration After
Injury", Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 2022

Crossref

13 Janine Häfliger, Marlene Schwarzfischer, Kirstin 12 words — < 1 %
Atrott, Claudia Stanzel et al. "Glycoprotein (GP)96
is essential for maintaining intestinal epithelial architecture by
supporting its self-renewal capacity", Cellular and Molecular
Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2022

Crossref

14 sciendo.com 12 words — < 1 %
Internet

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES < 12 WORDS
EXCLUDE MATCHES < 12 WORDS