ANSWERS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

1 PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Reviewer #1:

- The conclusion is very long and should be abbreviated highlighting the takeaways from this paper. The remaining text is well written.

The conclusion has been shortened and re-written according to the indications of the reviewer.

- A similar but less aggressive case has been reported recently by Yadav et al. (DOI: 10.4103/0974-7796.171501) and may be mentioned to give a broader outlook on the rare but diverse presentation of metachronous metastases in RCC.

The case report by Yadav et al has been cited in the last paragraph of the DISCUSSION at page 15; the corresponding reference has been included (reference no 55).

2 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Science Editor:

Scientific quality:

Classification: Grade A;

Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors have presented a very unusual case here and must be commended for the perseverance that they have shown in managing this case, requiring multiple difficult surgeries. The case is well written and the illustrations are also good. One of the key highlights of this case is that non-surgical therapies still fall short of curative treatment and the role of a surgeon in management of RCC is pivotal. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered.

The issues raised by the reviewer have been answered.

Format: Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A.

Issues raised:

(1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

The original pictures have been provided following the instructions.

(2) Please provide the table in a editable format, not in image format; and

The original table has been provided following the instructions.

(3) The "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

The "Case Presentation" section has been re-written adding the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text.