



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82368

Title: Establishment of a prognostic model related to Tregs and NK cells infiltration in bladder cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05223442

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, MSc

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Lecturer, Senior Researcher, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Liberia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-16

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-28 21:53

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-29 11:07

Review time: 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

How has the methodology been validated, and what are its limitations? Discussion: How does the prognostic model related to Tregs and NK cells infiltration in bladder cancer compare to other existing models for predicting patient outcomes in bladder cancer? What future directions are recommended for improving and refining this model?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82368

Title: Establishment of a prognostic model related to Tregs and NK cells infiltration in bladder cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 00740229

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Director

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Spain

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-16

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-18 05:41

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-24 08:46

Review time: 6 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Yang et al.'s article is well written and well argued, and is suitable for publication in their journal. The title, abstract, figures and all the different parts of the article are well done and written. However I suggest a few modifications to improve this role. There are many missing spaces throughout the manuscript before the parentheses or between the period and the beginning of the sentence. Is this fact perhaps due to the different version of Microsoft Word used? You should correct this. There is also no separation between headings and titles. Everything appears very caked. The word "delinquency" does not seem appropriate to me. Several author citations are given in the text as sole signers of articles without naming collaborators with a simple et al. You should correct this too. Finally, there are interesting articles on Tregs in rejection and/or NK cells in cancer immunosurveillance that you could cite, if it seemed appropriate to the author. These items would be: San Segundo D et al. High proportion of pretransplantation activated regulatory T cells (CD4+CD25highCD62L+CD45RO+) predicts acute rejection in kidney transplantation: results of a multicenter study. *Transplantation*. 2014;98(11):1213-8. doi: 10.1097/TP.0000000000000202 Guillamón CF. Activating KIRs



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

on Educated NK Cells Support Downregulation of CD226 and Inefficient Tumor
Immunosurveillance. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2019;7(8):1307-1317. doi:
10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0847