

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9257**Title:** DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF CHOLEDOCHOLITHIASIS IN THE GOLDEN AGE OF IMAGING, ENDOSCOPY AND LAPAROSCOPY.**Reviewer code:** 00503923**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou**Date sent for review:** 2014-02-08 10:20**Date reviewed:** 2014-02-09 17:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author reviewed present approaches in dealing with CBDS in detail, almost of all the procedures and new techniques were introduced, which is of great significance in guidance of clinical practice.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9257

Title: DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF CHOLEDOCHOLITHIASIS IN THE GOLDEN AGE OF IMAGING, ENDOSCOPY AND LAPAROSCOPY.

Reviewer code: 00112265

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-08 10:20

Date reviewed: 2014-02-22 19:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is very interesting. I have only few suggestions: 1) It is too long: I believe that a significant shortening could be obtained by reduction of paragraphs on clinical presentation, lithotripsy, and emerging surgical techniques. 2) Too much abbreviations sometimes make difficult the reading 3) As reader I need a more critical appraisal of the Literature's messages 4) As reader I need also a brief list of the evidence's messages (also in a table)