

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31756

Title: PERSISTENT INFECTION OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI

Reviewer's code: 00036328

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-12-06 21:20

Date reviewed: 2016-12-19 03:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper titled PERSISTENT INFECTION OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI from Talebi Bezmin Abadi A is an interesting and well written review. My comments are listed below: Introduction: it is surprising that among the guidelines cited (ref. 2, 8-13) there is not the most recent one (Malfertheiner P, et al. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection – the Maastricht V/Florence Consensus Report. Gut 2016;0:1-25. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312288). Hp is found in two forms: spiral-shaped bacillus and coccoid. I'll be interested about the latter as a manifestation of cell adaptation to adverse conditions. References are numerically excessive. Moreover, it should be better to follow the WJG guidelines by adding DOI and PMID. References 18 and 63 (Lu H, Yamaoka Y, Graham DY. Helicobacter pylori virulence factors: facts and fantasies. Current opinion in gastroenterology. 2005;21(6):653-9.), together with references 8 and 11 (Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, Atherton J, Axon AT, Bazzoli F, et al. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection – the Maastricht IV/Florence consensus report. Gut. 2012;61(5):646-64.) are the same. It is redundant to use the same reference (Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection in Korea, 2013 revised edition published) in two languages (ref. 12 and 13). The reference 59 should be handled



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

as a book chapter: O'Rourke J, Bode G. Morphology and Ultrastructure. In: Mobley HLT, Mendz GL, Hazell SL, editors. *Helicobacter pylori: Physiology and Genetics*. Washington (DC): ASM Press; 2001. Chapter 6. Reference 2 should be Talebi Bezmin Abadi A. Therapy of *Helicobacter pylori*: present medley and future prospective. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:124607. doi: 10.1155/2014/124607. Epub 2014 Apr 1. Ref. 81, 88, 166: the short name of *Frontiers in Medicine* is *Front Med* (Lausanne).

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31756

Title: PERSISTENT INFECTION OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI

Reviewer's code: 03002860

Reviewer's country: Chile

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-12-06 21:20

Date reviewed: 2016-12-29 03:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is very interesting. Addresses an issue of vital importance in the study of Helicobacter pylori. The review is extensive and include several points of view for to understand the persistence of the bacteria in the gastric lumen. Nevertheless, there are observe some weaknesses respect to molecular aspect that are not considered in the review. Many paragraphs describes colloquial aspects of the mechanism of persistence of H. pylori, without a molecular base in which it can hold. I missed more biochemical details. I suggest to complement with tables and/or a figure, moreover of to edit the english. For other hand the references are very old, I suggest updating it. Specific comments are in the review.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31756

Title: PERSISTENT INFECTION OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI

Reviewer's code: 00043980

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-12-06 21:20

Date reviewed: 2016-12-29 10:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Due to English expression and grammar difficulties, it is difficult to follow the authors' logic as they develop this important topic.