

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9082

**Title:** Effectiveness of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs in Preventing the post-ERCP Pancreatitis: A meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 02823396

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-20 14:05

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-21 17:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have the opportunity to review the meta-analysis entitled "Effectiveness of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs in Preventing the post-ERCP pancreatitis". I congratulate the authors for their excellent work. Otherwise, I would like than them remarked that there is only one multicenter RCT to evaluate the effectiveness of NSAIDs in preventing PEP, so we have to be cautious with the conclusions. Other practical information should be the ideal or standard dose of NSAIDS we have to use.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9082

**Title:** Effectiveness of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs in Preventing the post-ERCP Pancreatitis: A meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 00036234

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-20 14:05

**Date reviewed:** 2014-02-10 07:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review/meta-analysis is well structured and addresses all the issues related to the role of NSAIDs in the post-ERCP pancreatitis prophylaxis. But, before acceptance major revision in the language is needed.