

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 27078

Title: Incidental non-benign gallbladder histopathology after cholecystectomy in a United Kingdom population: Need for routine histological analysis?

Reviewer's code: 02444925

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-11 18:23

Date reviewed: 2016-05-13 15:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described an incidental non-benign gallbladder histopathology after cholecystectomy in a UK. More than 4000 cases of resected gallbladder were analyzed histopathologically. Minor revision is required. 1. Page 4, line 5 (Abstract): please correct spelling of "sfter" to "after". 2. Page 13, line 6 (Discussion): please correct spelling of "rmenant" to "remnant". 3. In Table 1, the total number of 4027 cases seemed to be wrong. Please correct it.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 27078

Title: Incidental non-benign gallbladder histopathology after cholecystectomy in a United Kingdom population: Need for routine histological analysis?

Reviewer's code: 00722239

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-11 18:23

Date reviewed: 2016-05-14 22:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors retrospectively analyzed incidental gallbladder cancer in 4027 UK series of surgically resected gallbladders that were not suspected for malignancy before surgery. Although several reports regarding incidental gallbladder cancer have been already published from high prevalence area, studies from infrequent area such as UK are worth publishing. I have some comments. 1. The authors retrospectively analyzed the cases that were not suspected for gallbladder cancer preoperatively. However, gallbladder cancer was actually found in 6 cases further 3 cases have died of cancer. There is a need for discussion about reasons for why these gallbladder cancers were not diagnosed preoperatively. 2. I hope the presentation of figures for the macro and micro photos of typical gallbladder cancer case. 3. Although the authors documented "macroscopically normal gallbladders", the grossly thickened GB wall and fistulous communication with colon is never "macroscopically normal gallbladders". I wish to reconsider the representation for it. 4. Mistakes on the spellings and grammar can be seen in several places.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 27078

Title: Incidental non-benign gallbladder histopathology after cholecystectomy in a United Kingdom population: Need for routine histological analysis?

Reviewer's code: 00505440

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-11 18:23

Date reviewed: 2016-05-20 00:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting and well written manuscript worthy of publication. Minor comments: 1) Few typographical errors that need attention