

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 17721

Title: Management and outcome of recurrent gallstone Ileus: A systematic review.

Reviewer's code: 02441722

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-21 09:33

Date reviewed: 2015-03-26 15:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Management and outcome of recurrent gallstone Ileus: A systematic review. This manuscript is very interesting and well written. However, I feel there are some problems in this article. (1) Authors indicate the systemic review about the patients with gall stone ileus between 1912 to 2013. Recently, surgical technique and per-operative management are made remarkable progress. I think the authors should a summary of recent cases against old cases. (2) Author should draw some lines properly in PRISMA Flow Diagram. (3) The number of Table is many. Author should reduce the number of Table, if possible. (4) Author should write the manuscript following the guidelines and form of this journal.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 17721

Title: Management and outcome of recurrent gallstone Ileus: A systematic review.

Reviewer's code: 02533534

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-21 09:33

Date reviewed: 2015-03-30 11:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review content is innovative and concentrates on a rare but hard-to-deal emergence disease. The author summarized the characteristics and treatment strategies of recurrent gallstone Ileus by reviewing case reports of this disease, which are valuable and has guiding significance in clinical practice. But there are still some aspects influencing the overall quality of this article and needing further improvements. Only if the author make clear explanations for the following questions, can I consider accept this manuscript. 1.The author should explain in details why the mortality of the Enterolithotomy only was much higher than the Single Stage Surgery, which seems paradoxical in clinical practice. 2.The advantage or disadvantage of the staged elective cholecystectomy and repair of the biliary-enteric fistula compared with Single Stage Surgery and Enterolithotomy only should be stated in the discussion part and the author should make it clear why few patients underwent staged elective cholecystectomy and repair of the biliary-enteric fistula. 3.Many of the included cases are out-of-date compared with the improvement of surgical techniques and perioperative management, I recommend that patients in the last two decades should be separately analyzed. 4.The last search date was May 2013. The author can conduct an updated database search. 5.In your PRISMA flow



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

diagram, "Records excluded as did not meet the inclusion criteria (n= 615)", that is you excluded 615 Records only by reading their title? Also, the 3 literature reviews including 7 cases might cause a duplication in calculating with other original case-reports.