



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Room 903, Building D, Ocean International Center,
No.62 Dongsihuan Zhonglu, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100025, China
Telephone: +86-10-8538-1892 Fax: +86-10-8538-1893
E-mail: bpg@baishideng.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Ms: 2470

Title: OUTCOME OF SURGICAL RESECTION IN KLATSKIN TUMOURS

Reviewer code: 00054271

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-22 14:04

Date reviewed: 2013-02-25 04:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

OK for publication

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Ms: 2470

Title: OUTCOME OF SURGICAL RESECTION IN KLATSKIN TUMOURS

Reviewer code: 00069371

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-22 14:04

Date reviewed: 2013-03-01 17:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Improve the writing The Abstract : do not just copy the sentences from the body of the manuscript - the first sentence was a repeat of a sentence in the Introduction and half of the abstract was copied from the conclusion. The abbreviations, although most are common terms used by the clinicians, are supposed to write in full at their first appearance, and afterward keep consistency in using that. Two last sentences in the paragraph starting with Portal vein embolization need clarification, English correction In the paragraph starting with Liver resection (this section require a language improvement) eg. Rewrite the sentence, ... Surgical results..... Thanks to.... Jarnagin et al in 2001, the ...?-year The sentence of(65) need to separate The sentence: But this Italian.....need rewriting or separate 3-4 last sentences need English correction Also, rewrite the paragraphs of Lymph nodes (LN) and survival & Combined vascular resection in hilar cholangiocarcinoma resection and so on.....



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Room 903, Building D, Ocean International Center,
No.62 Dongsihuan Zhonglu, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100025, China
Telephone: +86-10-8538-1892 Fax: +86-10-8538-1893
E-mail: bpg@baishideng.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Ms: 2470

Title: OUTCOME OF SURGICAL RESECTION IN KLATSKIN TUMOURS

Reviewer code: 00068597

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-22 14:04

Date reviewed: 2013-03-06 18:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

No 2470 Alejandro Serrablo et al. Outcome of Surgical Resection in Klatskin Tumours This manuscript is a review article about outcome after surgical therapy for Klatskin tumours (hilar cholangiocarcinoma (hCC)). This manuscript consists of important and significant review, which includes classifications, preoperative

managements, prognostic factors, adjuvant therapy of hCC, and so on. I think this manuscript have quality required for publication in World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology. However some minor revisions are necessary for acceptance. The authors need to check the following points. 1. The order of heading is hard to understand and should be better arranged for example, classification, preoperative management, prognostic factor and surgical treatments. And introduction is too long. The content concerning to classification in the introduction should be separated. 2. In this manuscript, a paper of Cheng et al. alone is cited to state effectiveness adjuvant radiotherapy after curative resection. However, Dr. Todoroki et al (ref. No. 81) has already reported in 2000 the statistically significance of radiotherapy for R1 radical resection of stage IVa hCC in their retroscopical study. The results of this paper should be mentioned. 3. Ref No.58 and 66 is same article. 4. The title form of the tables should be unified. 5. Some improper typing are found in the manuscript. (1) Title page, Keyword Survival, survival? (2) ABSTRACT P1, line 11: theraphy, therapy? line 22: radiothepapy, radiotherapy? (3) Introduction P2, line 4: Table2, Table3? P3 line 26: Bismuth types II/V, III/IV? (4) Liver resection How much is enough P2, line 6: the - year, the 5-year? (5) Ref. No. 46: OutcomeArch, Outcome Arch? No.102: tan resection. than resection? (6) Both tumor and tumour are used in this article. Please unify.