



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34673

Title: Trends and Outcomes of Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Periampullary Tumors: Results of a 25 Years Single Center Study on 1000 Consecutive Cases

Reviewer's code: 02544751

Reviewer's country: Slovakia

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-05-18

Date reviewed: 2017-05-29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Recension of manuscript No. 34673: „Trends and Outcomes of Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Periampullary Tumors: Results of a 25 Years Single Center Study on 1000 Consecutive Cases written by Ayman El Nakeeb et al. “, which will be published in World Journal of Gastroenterology. The structure of manuscript is in keeping with the common required criteria. The topic of the work is very actual. Pancreaticoduodenectomy is a complex abdominal procedure, the hospital mortality rate has decreased to less than 5% however the rate of postoperative morbidities remains high, from 40 to 50%. Pancreatic reconstruction following pancreaticoduodenectomy is still debatable. The research work follows several aims and interesting parametric studies. Work is clearly legible, brings summarizes new knowledge. The results are documented in graphs that present the review of the obtained data. The citations are well-chosen and relevant and their format respects usual standards. The conclusion summarizes the author's results. Summarizing, I recommend that the manuscript can



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

be published.

Kosice, 29. May 2017

Jana Katuchova, MD, PhD.

Professor of Surgery First Department of Surgery University Hospital Košice
Slovakia



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34673

Title: Trends and Outcomes of Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Periapillary Tumors: Results of a 25 Years Single Center Study on 1000 Consecutive Cases

Reviewer's code: 00070191

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-06-04

Date reviewed: 2017-06-09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The new visions that the manuscript offers to readers: - This study provides comparisons for early, middle and late periods of PD by means of mortality and morbidity. It is observed that although pancreatic reconstruction following PD is still debatable, there was a significant improvement of median survival and the overall survival among the periods. The weaknesses or deficiencies in the manuscript: - The manuscript is highly repetitive and should be shortened at least %10. - In the figures and tables the integrity of the text should be more concise and clear. - The language of the manuscript needs minor revisions



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34673

Title: Trends and Outcomes of Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Periapillary Tumors: Results of a 25 Years Single Center Study on 1000 Consecutive Cases

Reviewer's code: 02977366

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-06-04

Date reviewed: 2017-06-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors try to evaluate the evolution, trends in surgical approaches and reconstruction techniques. They reviewed the data of all patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) during 1993 to 2017. In the results, they concluded that the frequency of is increased. The median operative time and postoperative hospital stay time were decreased. The hospital mortality was also declined. The postoperative complications is significantly decreased. They also found that the median survival and the overall survival was improvement. The results sound interesting, but the reviewers still have the following concerns: 1) The data were categorized into three periods, would you please explain why you need to categorized the data to three periods? 2) In the manuscript, there were 20 surgeons conducted the surgery, how they carried out the same quality monitoring? 3) In this manuscript , there were so many spell mistakes, and it is hard to understand, the language need to be polished.