



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18500

**Title:** Laparoscopic surgery for small-bowel obstruction caused by Meckel's diverticulum

**Reviewer's code:** 00742022

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-30 17:31

**Date reviewed:** 2015-05-31 05:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is interesting but needs a few improvements. Authors should always use page numbers so referees can refer. because of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome 2 years previously Was this due to fertility treatment or another cause? Abdominal plain X-ray examination demonstrated a ladder-like series of distended small-bowel loops. Can this be referred to in the figure. the mesodiverticular band and resecting the Meckel's diverticulum the mesodiverticular band and resected the Meckel's diverticulum Discussion Meckel's diverticulum occurs with an incidence of 1-2% among the general population, and most cases remain asymptomatic [7]. Complications result most commonly from bleeding, inflammation, or obstruction [5, 7]. This was already said in the Intro. Intestinal obstruction due to Meckel's diverticulum is the most common presentation in Intestinal obstruction due to Meckel's diverticulum is the most common presentation Awkward.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18500

**Title:** Laparoscopic surgery for small-bowel obstruction caused by Meckel's diverticulum

**Reviewer's code:** 03000525

**Reviewer's country:** Hungary

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-30 17:31

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-08 04:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting report on a rare etiologic factor of bowel obstruction in young adults. I have only few comments. 1. What is the prevalence of symptomatic Meckel's diverticulum in young adults/adults? 2. What are the indications for intracorporeal surgery of Meckel's diverticulum? Why could you choose laparoscopic procedure? 3. Did the patient have haematochezia?



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 18500

Title: Laparoscopic surgery for small-bowel obstruction caused by Meckel's diverticulum

Reviewer's code: 02741591

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-04-30 17:31

Date reviewed: 2015-05-24 17:39

Table with 4 columns: CLASSIFICATION, LANGUAGE EVALUATION, SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT, CONCLUSION. It contains various criteria like Grade A-E, polishing, Google/BPG Search, and Accept/Rejection/Revision options.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Laparoscopic surgery for small-bowel obstruction caused by Meckel's diverticulum The article is on a very interesting approach for such a rare disease. It would be useful for the scientific committee to have an idea about the outcome of this unusual disease. The fruitful outcome after 4 years should better be shown in the title of the case report. The abstract is 122 words which makes it truncated. More laparoscopic details would be interesting to present. A long tube was placed and her small intestine was successfully decompressed. COMMENT: More details on this procedure would be appealing and preferably with a proper reference. and we cut the mesodiverticular band and resecting COMMENT: resected on POD5.....IVR....MDCT...SBO COMMENT: Any abbreviation should better be fully expanded at its first appearance in the text; even if they are well known for most of the readers. There is no mentioning of the positive outcome after 4 years in the discussion. This may raise the question of why did the authors wait for 4 years to publish this case? It could have thus been published 4 years ago. More in-depth discussion for the whole issue and for the encouraging outcome is essential.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18500

**Title:** Laparoscopic surgery for small-bowel obstruction caused by Meckel's diverticulum

**Reviewer's code:** 00013033

**Reviewer's country:** Hungary

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-30 17:31

**Date reviewed:** 2015-05-25 03:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A rare case, well written, please modify the conclusion that this is an exceptional cause that should not be considered in the routine differential diagnostic workup.