

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60599

Title: Diabetes insipidus with impaired vision caused by germinoma and perioptic meningeal seeding: A case report

Reviewer's code: 04382439

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Denmark

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-19

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-27 14:21

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-30 10:58

Review time: 2 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Yang and colleagues are presenting a case of an 11-year old girl with central diabetes insipidus and loss of vision due to a germinoma with suspected meningeal seeding in the subarachnoid space of the optic nerves. The case report is generally well-written and interesting to the general reader. However, I have some comments, which should be addressed for clarity:

ABSTRACT Background: 1. Lacks a definition of germinoma 2. The first line seems out of context - midline of what? 3. Avoid the use of "and so on" which seems unscientific

Case summary: 4. Instead of "bilateral optic nerve", I suggest "bilateral heterogeneous enhancement of the optic nerves..." 5. "enhancement of the bilateral optic nerve and atrophy of optic nerves" seems contradictory, I suggest specifying that it was the nerve sheath which was enhanced 6. For clarity, rephrase the sentence "We summarized the case characteristics..." to indicate, that a literature review was performed

Conclusion 7. Rephrase "Differentiated"

ARTICLE Introduction 8. I suggest rephrasing to "Clinical manifestations as well as associated endocrine abnormalities depend on the location and size of the tumor"

Case presentation 9. Many of the sub-headlines are unnecessary and gives a fragmented impression - I suggest reducing the number of sub-headlines 10. A more detailed timeline would add to the clarity of the case presentation - had she been diagnosed with CDI one year prior to vision loss? Which diagnostic work-up was performed initially? What was the extent of polyuria? 11. Under the headline "lab examinations": avoid the use of "basically"

Discussion 12. Avoid the use of "and so on" 13. Rather than repeat which investigations were negative, describe the significance of the findings 14. Regarding the paragraph "The most common manifestation of germinoma was polyuria, while mass symptoms in the form of headaches and visual complaints were observed in approximately half of the cases. The visual complaints always occurred after DI, with a median delay of 5 months



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

(ranging from 1 to 35)" - I suggest changing to present time, to indicate that these are general findings 15. For clarity, rephrase the sentence "We summarized the case characteristics..." to indicate, that you performed a literature review 16. Rephrase the sentence: "Most of the reported patients were adolescents within the 12-34 age range" - 34 is not adolescent 17. "ranging from half to twelve years", I suggest changing to "ranging from half a year to twelve years" Conclusion 18. The first sentence should include what the authors presented 19. Rephrase "Differentiated" TABLE 20. Correct the reference numbers 21. For clarity, author names should be cited in the table

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60599

Title: Diabetes insipidus with impaired vision caused by germinoma and perioptic meningeal seeding: A case report

Reviewer's code: 04382439

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Denmark

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-19

Reviewer chosen by: Pan Huang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-17 12:05

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-17 12:19

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

My comments have been addressed appropriately Some minor language revisions could be performed