

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

Manuscript NO: 69354

Title: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in Liver Transplantation: Challenges and Feasibility

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03755068

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-29 05:16

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-30 20:05

Review time: 1 Day and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This was a manuscript which evaluated the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) procedure in liver transplantation (LT). MAJOR COMMENTS: - First of all, I do not understand very well the manuscript format (authors' affiliation, corresponding author's name and so on are missing). - Furthermore, there is a short abstract, a short introduction, a very short methods section (especially if we consider that this seemed a systematic review and that some statistical topics were explained in the result section) and a very long discussion section. - The Authors identified 3 papers after research on Medline, Embase, Ovid and Cochrane. The interval time of research has not been mentioned. The research queries are only 3 words (fast track, enhanced recovery, liver transplantation). - Finally, the discussion section is a more a narration of ERAS rather than an explanation of previously reported results. - English polishing language needed. - Keywords are missing - Biostatistic requirements were not fairly explained MINOR - The predictive role of pre-LT MELD score on post-LT outcome is highly debatable. - The comparison of total length of stay has been incorrectly reported in the text (brackets missing, "-" missing). - Table 1 is not very clear. Table 2 is very long and underlies heterogeneity between studies.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

Manuscript NO: 69354

Title: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in Liver Transplantation: Challenges and Feasibility

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03474917

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-28 23:25

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-02 00:58

Review time: 3 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review paper investigated the existing efforts at implementing ERAS in liver transplantation (LT). The topic is of interest. I have a few comments as follows. 1) I admit the authors' effort to analyze the existing studies regarding ERAS in LT. As expected, however, there have been few studies (3 articles) to be analyzed. Therefore, it is difficult to draw any conclusions from such a small number of studies. I recommend the authors to submit this article as a letter. 2) I think it is important to adopt the idea of ERAS into LT. We have already adopted some items of ERAS into LT, which actually leads to early recovery even in patients undergoing LT. But ERAS can not be applied to all patients. The indication depends on patients' condition. Therefore, I strongly agree with the authors' last sentence that "ERAS is not about the type of operation; ERAS is about the patient."

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

Manuscript NO: 69354

Title: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in Liver Transplantation: Challenges and Feasibility

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03011479

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MHSc, PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-01 18:08

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-05 21:48

Review time: 4 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Great article about a concept that should be increasingly adopted by the transplant centers. I would like to congratulate the authors on the article, it is very well written.